

R.J. Apon

Aspects of automorphism towers

Thesis, December 9, 2016

Supervisor: prof.dr. H.W. Lenstra



Mathematical Institute, University of Leiden

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Definitions, conventions and an essential lemma	4
3	Automorphism group and normalizer	5
4	Specific height	7
5	Automorphism tower of p-adic integers	10
6	Automorphism groups of finite products of abelian groups	14
7	Abelian automorphism towers for finitely generated abelian groups	16
8	Basic subgroups	18
9	Abelian automorphism groups	22
10	References	24

1 Introduction

For a group G denote $\theta_G : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } G, g \mapsto (x \mapsto gxg^{-1})$. Whenever the group G is clear from the context, it will be omitted from the notation. Define $G_0 = G$ and for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ define $G_i = \text{Aut } G_{i-1}$. I use the notation $\text{Aut}^i G$ for G_i . This sequence of groups combined with the maps θ_{G_i} is called the *automorphism tower of G* .

When introducing a new definition, new questions arise. I cannot answer all questions in this thesis, hence I focused on three aspects of the automorphism towers: the height, stable categories and the abelian automorphism towers.

Wielandt ([1], 1939) showed that for a finite group with a trivial center there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that θ_{G_m} is an isomorphism. It would be logical to give such smallest m a name. We say that a group G has *height m* if m is the smallest non-negative integer such that $G_m \cong G_{m+1}$. Note that in my definition of height I do not specify what the isomorphism between the groups is, because later on I will use the definition for abelian groups as well. The height of a finite group with a trivial center is finite, but can we reach all possible heights? The answer is yes and is shown by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ there exists a finite group G with trivial center such that G has height m and the map θ_{G_m} is an isomorphism.*

This will be proven in chapter 4. One could also ask the question if we can find an infinite group with a trivial center such that its automorphism tower has infinite height. The construction used for theorem 1.1 can be extended to get such a group. Since it takes little effort, I will show this in chapter 4 as well.

Calculating automorphism towers can become quite difficult. For example the automorphism tower of the group of 2-adic integers \mathbb{Z}_2 is already quite difficult after 6 steps (calculating the tower for \mathbb{Z}_3 is a fun exercise). This is the reason that I don't work out specific examples. It would be nice if we could formulate some kind of theorem that shows that if G has some property then $\text{Aut } G$ has that as well. These kinds of theorems show that every group in the automorphism tower of G has a certain property. This inspired the following definition. For a category \mathcal{C} denote \mathcal{C}_0 the collection of objects and \mathcal{C}_1 the collection of morphisms. Let \mathcal{C} be a full subcategory of the category of groups. We call \mathcal{C} *stable* if for all $C \in \mathcal{C}_0$ there exists a $D \in \mathcal{C}_0$ such that $\text{Aut } C \cong D$. The following stable category is actually used in the proof of theorem 1.1.

A group G is called *semi-simple* if G is isomorphic to a direct sum of simple groups and is called *perfect* if $G = [G, G]$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let S be a perfect semi-simple group. Then the category \mathcal{C}_S with $(\mathcal{C}_S)_0 = \{G \subset \text{Aut } S \mid \theta_S(S) \subset G\}$ is a stable category.*

I will prove this theorem in chapter 3. As said before, I calculated the automorphism tower of \mathbb{Z}_2 for a few steps. I could not find out whether this tower has finite height or not, but I could deduce a pattern which led to the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let p be a prime. Then the category $(\mathcal{C}_p)_0 = \{G \mid \exists f : G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p : \#\ker(f) < \infty\}$ is a stable category.*

I will prove this in chapter 5. I will also show that the existence of a surjective group homomorphism $G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ with a finite kernel is equivalent to two other statements.

Let A be an abelian group. If all groups in the automorphism tower of A are abelian,

then A has an *abelian automorphism tower*. I have tried to classify all abelian groups that have an abelian automorphism tower. For finitely generated abelian groups I have found a classification. For infinitely generated abelian groups I do not have a classification, but I do have a result that eliminates a lot of groups.

Theorem 1.4. *Let A be a finitely generated abelian group. Then A has an abelian automorphism tower iff A is cyclic and $|A|$ is contained in one of the following sets:*

- a) $\{3^m, 2 \cdot 3^m | m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}$
- b) $\{2 \cdot 3^m + 1, 2(2 \cdot 3^m + 1) | m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, 2 \cdot 3^m + 1 \text{ is prime}\}$
- c) $\{1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 11, 22, 23, 46, 47, 94\}$
- d) $\{\infty\}$

This theorem will be proven in chapter 7. Note that $\mathbb{Z}/3^m\mathbb{Z}$ has height $m + 1$ for $m \geq 1$. This shows that for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we can find a group that has an abelian automorphism tower of height m . From theorem 1.4 we can deduce that if we want to find a group with an abelian automorphism tower of infinite height, we need to look at infinitely generated abelian groups.

Theorem 1.5. *Let A be an abelian group such that $\text{Aut}^i A$ is abelian for $i = 0, \dots, 4$ and $\text{Aut} A$ is infinite. Then $\text{Aut} A \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus C$ where C is a $\mathbb{Z}[2^{-1}]$ -module.*

I will prove this in chapter 9, which uses p -basic subgroups. I do not assume that the reader knows this, hence chapter 8 covers this topic. I have not been able to show that for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ there exists an infinitely generated abelian group such that its abelian automorphism tower has height m (finding a non-trivial group for $m = 0$ is a fun exercise), which is considerably harder than in the finitely generated case. I did not show either there exists a group with an abelian automorphism tower of infinite height.

2 Definitions, conventions and an essential lemma

For a set X denote $\text{Sym } X$ as the symmetric group acting on X . For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ denote \underline{n} as the set $\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$.

For a group G and a set X I will denote $G^X := \prod_{x \in X} G$ and $G^{(X)} := \bigoplus_{x \in X} G$. I will denote G_x as the x -th coordinate axis.

For a non-trivial ring R with a multiplicative unit, denote R^* as the group of invertible elements of R .

Definition 2.1. *Let G be a group. The exponent of G is the least common multiple of the orders of all elements in G . If there is no least common multiple, the exponent is infinite.*

Definition 2.2. *Let G and H be groups and Ω an H -set. Define $\psi : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G^\Omega)$, $h \mapsto ((g_w)_{w \in \Omega} \mapsto (g_{h^{-1}w})_{w \in \Omega})$. Define the wreath product $G \wr_\Omega H := G^\Omega \rtimes_\psi H$.*

I will often leave out Ω , since it should be obvious from the context which set is used in the definition.

An abelian group will be written additively and 0 is the identity element. The only exceptions to this rule are automorphism groups. I will not denote automorphism groups additively even if they are abelian, since this would be more confusing. I will also denote id_G as the identity element of $\text{Aut } G$ and \circ as the symbol for composition. Groups which

are not specified to be abelian will be written multiplicatively and 1 is the identity element. Whenever I write 1 or 0 as a group, I mean the trivial group.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $1 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 1$ be a short exact sequence of groups and $s : C \rightarrow B$ a homomorphism such $g \circ s = \text{id}_C$. Then B is isomorphic to $A \rtimes_{\psi} C$ where $\psi : C \rightarrow \text{Aut } A, c \mapsto (x \mapsto g(c)xg(c)^{-1})$.*

Proof. See lemma 2.1 of [2], which is a more general version. □

3 Automorphism group and normalizer

In this chapter I will prove two theorems that show a connection between taking automorphism groups and taking normalizers. Note that theorem 3.2 directly implies theorem 1.2. Theorem 3.1 will be needed for the next chapter.

Theorem 3.1. *Let T be a non-abelian simple group, X a set and $H \subset \text{Sym } X$ a subgroup. Then $\text{Aut}((\text{Aut } T) \wr H) \cong (\text{Aut } T) \wr N_{\text{Sym } X}(H)$.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let S be a perfect semi-simple group. Let $G \subset \text{Aut } S$ be a subgroup with $\theta_S(S) \subset G$. Then $\psi : N_{\text{Aut } S}(G) \rightarrow \text{Aut } G, x \mapsto (g \mapsto xgx^{-1})$ is an isomorphism.*

Before proving these theorems, I will show some easy results and recall some definitions.

Lemma 3.3. *Let I be a set, S_i simple groups for $i \in I$ and define $S = \bigoplus_{i \in I} S_i$. Then S is perfect iff for all $i \in I$ holds that S_i is non-abelian.*

Proof. Follows from $[S, S] = \bigoplus_{i \in I} [S_i, S_i]$. □

Whenever I denote S a perfect semi-simple group, I will always use the letters I for the set and S_i for the simple non-abelian groups. Since these letters will stay fixed throughout this chapter, I will not define them any more.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut } G$ and $g \in G$. Then holds: $\theta_G(\sigma(g)) = \sigma \circ \theta_G(g) \circ \sigma^{-1}$.*

This theorem is trivial, writing out the definitions will just give the equality. However I state it as a theorem since I will be using it a lot.

Lemma 3.5. *Let G be a group with a trivial center. Then $C_{\text{Aut } G}(\theta_G(G))$ is trivial and $\text{Aut } G$ has a trivial center.*

Proof. We know $\ker(\theta_G) = Z(G) = 1$, so θ_G is injective. Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut } G$ such that σ commutes with $\theta_G(g)$ for all $g \in G$. By theorem 3.4 and the commuting property, we get $\theta_G(g) = \theta_G(\sigma(g))$. This implies $\sigma = \text{id}_G$, hence $C_{\text{Aut } G}(\theta_G(G)) = 1$. Note that the inclusion $Z(\text{Aut } G) \subset C_{\text{Aut } G}(\theta_G(G))$ always holds, hence $\text{Aut } G$ has a trivial center. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let S be a perfect semi-simple group. Then any non-trivial normal subgroup $N \triangleleft S$ contains S_i for some $i \in I$. Also, the set of minimal normal subgroups of S equals $\{S_i | i \in I\}$.*

Proof. Let N be a non-trivial normal subgroup of S . Suppose that for all $i \in I$ we have $N \cap S_i = 1$. Now for all $i \in I$ we have $[N, S_i] \subset N \cap S_i$, so N and S_i centralize each other. Since S is the direct sum of these S_i , we see that $N \subset Z(S)$. Since all S_i are non-abelian and simple, we must have $Z(S) = 1$. Since N is non-trivial, we get a contradiction. So there exists $i \in I$ such that $[N, S_i] = S_i \subset N$.

Let $i \in I$ and $N \triangleleft S$ be non-trivial with $N \subset S_j$. By the above, N contains some S_j for $j \in J$. Clearly if $i \neq j$ we have $S_i \cap S_j = 1$, hence we must have $i = j$. This shows $N = S_i$, hence S_i is a minimal normal subgroup.

Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of S . Let $i \in I$ such that $S_i \subset N$. Now S_i is normal in S and N was minimal, hence $S_i = N$. So the set of minimal normal subgroups is $\{S_i | i \in I\}$. \square

Definition 3.7. Let G be a group. The socle of G is the subgroup generated by all minimal normal subgroups of G . It is denoted by $\text{Soc}(G)$.

Definition 3.8. Let $H \subset G$ be groups. Then H is called a characteristic subgroup if for all $\sigma \in \text{Aut } G$ we have $\sigma(H) = H$.

Lemma 3.9. Let G be a group. Then $\text{Soc}(G)$ is a characteristic subgroup of G .

Proof. This is left as an exercise for the reader. \square

The proof of theorem 3.1 uses theorem 3.2, so I will prove 3.2 first.

Proof of 3.2. In the rest of the proof I will only use the group S for θ_S , therefore I will shorten notation to θ . I will first show that $\theta(S)$ is a characteristic subgroup of G , which I will do by showing that $\theta(S)$ is actually the socle of G .

I will first show $\text{Soc}(G) \subset \theta(S)$. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G . Note that $\theta(S)$ is the inner automorphism group, hence it is normal in $\text{Aut } S$. Therefore it is also normal in G . Now consider $\theta(S) \cap N$. It is normal in G , but also contained in N . Since N is a minimal normal subgroup, the intersection is trivial or equal to N . Suppose it is trivial. We have $[N, \theta(S)] \subset \theta(S) \cap N$, so N and $\theta(S)$ centralize each other. But $C_{\text{Aut } S}(\theta(S))$ is trivial by lemma 3.5. Any minimal normal subgroup must be non-trivial by definition, so we have a contradiction. Hence $\theta(S) \cap N = N$, or equivalently $N \subset \theta(S)$.

To make the proof of $\theta(S) \subset \text{Soc}(G)$ easier, I will introduce a new definition.

Definition 3.10. Let $H \subset G$ be groups. Now define the normal closure (or also called conjugate closure) of H in G , denoted H^G , as the smallest normal subgroup in G that contains H . In symbols we have $H^G = \bigcap_{H \subset N \triangleleft G} N$.

To show $\theta(S) \subset \text{Soc } G$, it is enough to prove that for all $i \in I$ we have $\theta(S_i) \subset \text{Soc } G$. I will do this by constructing a minimal normal subgroup of G , that contains $\theta(S_i)$. In fact, this minimal normal subgroup is exactly $\theta(S_i)^G$. To show this, it is useful to know $H^G = \langle gHg^{-1} | g \in G \rangle$. This is left as an exercise for the reader.

Let $i \in I$ and consider $\theta(S_i)^G$. Note that any automorphism of S must send minimal normal subgroups of S to minimal normal subgroups of S . Using theorem 3.4 and lemma 3.6 we get that for all $\sigma \in \text{Aut } S$ we have $\sigma\theta(S_i)\sigma^{-1} = \theta(\sigma(S_i)) = \theta(S_j)$ for some $j \in I$. Hence $\theta(S_i)^G = \langle \theta(S_j) | j \in J \rangle$ for some $J \subset I$. Take such a J .

Now all I need to show is that $\theta(S_i)^G$ is actually a minimal normal subgroup of G . Suppose M is a non-trivial normal subgroup of G with $M \subset \theta(S_i)^G$. Note that $\theta^{-1}(M)$ is also normal in S , hence by lemma 3.6 it must contain some S_k for $k \in I$. In particular $\theta(S_k) \subset \theta(S_i)^G$. Note that holds:

$$\theta(\langle S_j | j \in J \rangle) = \langle \theta(S_j) | j \in J \rangle.$$

Since θ is injective, we must have $k \in J$. Hence there exists $g \in G$ such that $\theta(S_k) = g\theta(S_i)g^{-1}$. Now also $\theta(S_i) \subset \theta(S_k)^G$, hence $\theta(S_k)^G = \theta(S_i)^G$. From $\theta(S_k)^G \subset M \subset \theta(S_i)^G$ follows $M = \theta(S_i)^G$.

So now I have proven $\theta(S) = \text{Soc } G$, which is a characteristic subgroup of G by lemma 3.9. Now I will prove that ψ is an isomorphism. Clearly the kernel of ψ is exactly the centralizer of G in $N_{\text{Aut } S}(G)$. But every element that centralizes G , also centralizes $\theta(S)$. However, from lemma 3.5, we know $C_{\text{Aut } S}(\theta(S)) = 1$, hence the kernel must be trivial. This proves injectivity.

Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut } G$. I will show $\sigma \in \text{Im}(\psi)$. Since $\theta(S)$ is a characteristic subgroup, we have that $\sigma|_{\theta(S)}$ is an automorphism. Take $\tau \in \text{Aut } S$ such that for all $s \in S$ we have $\sigma(\theta(s)) = \theta(\tau(s))$. Define $\gamma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } S, \alpha \mapsto \tau \circ \alpha \circ \tau^{-1}$. By theorem 3.4, we see that σ and γ are the same map on $\theta(S)$. Let $h \in \theta(S)$ and $g \in G$. Since $\theta(S)$ is normal in G we have:

$$\begin{aligned} ghg^{-1} &= \gamma\sigma^{-1}(ghg^{-1}) = \gamma\sigma^{-1}(g)h\gamma\sigma^{-1}(g^{-1}) \\ &\Rightarrow \gamma\sigma^{-1}(g^{-1})gh = h\gamma\sigma^{-1}(g^{-1})g \\ &\Rightarrow \gamma\sigma^{-1}(g^{-1})g \in C_G(\theta(S)). \end{aligned}$$

From lemma 3.5 we know $C_G(\theta(S)) = 1$, hence $\sigma(g) = \gamma(g)$. This shows that the image of γ is G and therefore we have $\tau \in N_{\text{Aut } S}(G)$ and $\psi(\tau) = \sigma$. Since ψ is surjective and injective, it is an isomorphism. \square

Proof of 3.1. Define $S = T^{(X)}$ and denote T_x as the x -th coordinate axis. Note that T is non-abelian and simple, hence S is a perfect semi-simple group. I will first show that $\text{Aut } T \wr \text{Sym } X$ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut } S$. We have a homomorphism $f : (\text{Aut } T)^X \rightarrow \text{Aut } S$, which is applying an automorphism of T on each coordinate of S . From lemma 3.6 follows:

$$\forall \alpha \in \text{Aut } S, x \in X : \exists y \in X : \alpha(T_x) = T_y.$$

From this we see that α induces a bijection on X . This way we get a homomorphism $g : \text{Aut } S \rightarrow \text{Sym } X$. We have the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow (\text{Aut } T)^X \xrightarrow{f} \text{Aut } S \xrightarrow{g} \text{Sym } X \longrightarrow 0.$$

We can create a map $s : \text{Sym } X \rightarrow \text{Aut } S$, which sends $\sigma \in \text{Sym } X$ to the automorphism that permutes the coordinates of S using σ . Clearly $gs = \text{id}_{\text{Sym } X}$. Now using lemma 2.3, we have $\text{Aut } S \cong (\text{Aut } T) \rtimes_{\psi} \text{Sym } X$. Note that ψ from lemma 2.3 is the same ψ as in the definition of the wreath product, hence we have $\text{Aut } S \cong (\text{Aut } T) \wr \text{Sym } X$. Denote the isomorphism $\Phi : (\text{Aut } T) \wr \text{Sym } X \rightarrow \text{Aut } S$.

Let $H \subset \text{Sym } X$ and consider $G = \Phi((\text{Aut } T) \wr H)$. Note that we have $\theta(S) \subset \Phi((\text{Aut } T) \wr 1)$, hence $\theta(S) \subset G$. Now G satisfies the requirements for theorem 3.2, so $N_{\text{Aut } S}(G) \cong \text{Aut } G$. Since Φ is an isomorphism, we have $N_{\text{Aut } S}(G) \cong N_{(\text{Aut } T) \wr \text{Sym } X}((\text{Aut } T) \wr H)$. Note that the subgroup $(\text{Aut } T) \wr 1$ is normal in $(\text{Aut } T) \wr \text{Sym } X$ and the quotient is isomorphic to $\text{Sym } X$. From the third isomorphism theorem we see that any subgroup of $(\text{Aut } T) \wr \text{Sym } X$ that also contains $(\text{Aut } T) \wr 1$ must be of the form $(\text{Aut } T) \wr K$ for some subgroup $K \subset \text{Sym } X$. Also, the bijection from the third isomorphism theorem preserves conjugation. Thus $N_{(\text{Aut } T) \wr \text{Sym } X}((\text{Aut } T) \wr H)$ is exactly $(\text{Aut } T) \wr N_{\text{Sym } X}(H)$. \square

4 Specific height

In this chapter I will shorten my notation of taking normalizers. I will not denote the group in which I take the normalizer, since this group is always $\text{Sym } \underline{2}^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Note that A_5 is a finite simple non-abelian group, hence theorem 4.1 implies 1.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then there exists a subgroup $H \subset \text{Sym } \underline{2^m}$ such that for each simple non-abelian group T the height of $(\text{Aut } T) \wr H$ is m , $Z((\text{Aut } T) \wr H) = 1$ and $\theta_{\text{Aut}^m((\text{Aut } T) \wr H)}$ is an isomorphism.*

Theorem 4.2. *There exists a subgroup $H \subset \text{Sym } \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that for each simple non-abelian group T the height of $(\text{Aut } T) \wr H$ is infinite and $Z((\text{Aut } T) \wr H) = 1$.*

Theorem 4.3. *For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ there exists a chain of subgroups $H_0 \subset \dots \subset H_m \subset \text{Sym } \underline{2^m}$ which satisfy the following statements:*

1. $N(H_i) = H_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i < m$,
2. $N(H_m) = H_m$,
3. H_i acts transitively on $\underline{2^m}$ iff $i = m$,
4. $|H_{i+1} : H_i| = 2$ for $0 \leq i < m$,
5. H_0 stabilizes 0 ,
6. H_m is a 2-Sylow subgroup of $\text{Sym } \underline{2^m}$,
7. H_0 is a 2-Sylow subgroup of $\text{Sym } (\underline{2^m} \setminus \{0\})$.

To use theorem 4.3 and 3.1 for proving 4.1 conditions 3-7 are not needed. However, proving conditions 1 and 2 is easier if I show 3-5 first. Conditions 6 and 7 give a good idea of what the groups in the chains are.

Before going into the proofs, I want to state a very important convention. I will be taking products of subgroups of symmetric groups, so I will define how to interpret this product. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Define $X_1 = \{0, 1, \dots, 2^m - 1\}$ and $X_2 = \{2^m, \dots, 2^{m+1} - 1\}$. Note: $X_1 \cup X_2 = \underline{2^{m+1}}$. Since $|X_1| = |X_2| = 2^m$, we have $\text{Sym } X_1 \cong \text{Sym } X_2$. So if we have subgroups $G, H \subset \text{Sym } \underline{2^m}$, we can see $G \times H$ as a subgroup of $\text{Sym } \underline{2^{m+1}}$ where for $(g, h) \in G \times H$, $x \in X_1$ and $y \in X_2$ we have: $(g, h)x = gx$ and $(g, h)y = hy$. The notation X_1 and X_2 will be used throughout this chapter.

Proof of 4.3. For $m = 0$, we can take $H_0 = \text{Sym } \underline{1}$. This chain satisfies the needed conditions trivially. Now suppose $m \geq 1$ and we have a chain $H_0 \subset \dots \subset H_m \subset \text{Sym } \underline{2^m}$ with all the above properties. I want to create a chain which has $m + 1$ inclusions. Define $G_0 = H_0 \times H_m$ and define $G_{i+1} = N(G_i)$. I claim that the chain $G_0 \subset \dots \subset G_{m+1} \subset \text{Sym } \underline{2^{m+1}}$ satisfies the needed conditions. Conditions 1 and 5 trivially hold.

First I will show $N(H_i \times H_m) = H_{i+1} \times H_m$ for $0 \leq i < m$. The following fact about orbits is very useful: if $Y \subset X$ is an orbit of $H \subset \text{Sym } X$, then for each $\alpha \in \text{Sym } X$ the set $\alpha(Y)$ is an orbit of $\alpha H \alpha^{-1}$. In particular, $N(H)$ permutes the orbits of H . Let $\alpha \in N(H_i \times H_m)$. Since H_m is transitive, we see that X_2 is an orbit of $H_i \times H_m$. Using the fact above, we see that $\alpha(X_2)$ is an orbit of $H_i \times H_m$. However, H_i does not act transitively on $\underline{2^m}$ so $H_i \times H_m$ has exactly one orbit of size 2^m , which is X_2 . Therefore $\alpha(X_2) = X_2$. Since X_1 is the complement of X_2 in $\underline{2^{m+1}}$, we also have $\alpha(X_1) = X_1$. We see that α must be contained in $\text{Sym } X_1 \times \text{Sym } X_2$. If we write $\alpha = (g, h)$, we have $H_i \times H_m = \alpha^{-1}(H_i \times H_m)\alpha = g^{-1}H_i g \times h^{-1}H_m h$. So $\alpha \in N(H_i) \times N(H_m)$. But by induction we know that this equals $H_{i+1} \times H_m$. So we know $G_i = H_i \times H_m$ for $i \leq m$.

To show that the other conditions hold it is useful to give an explicit form of G_{m+1} . Denote $\gamma = \prod_{i=0}^{2^m-1} (i \ i + 2^m) \in \text{Sym } \underline{2^{m+1}}$, which is an element that switches X_1 and X_2 . Note that γ normalizes G_m , hence $\gamma \in G_{m+1}$. I will show $G_{m+1} = G_m \cup \gamma G_m$. This proves that G_{m+1} is isomorphic to $H_m \wr \text{Sym } \underline{2}$.

Let $\alpha \in G_{m+1}$. Again, $\alpha(X_2)$ is an orbit of G_m , but now we have two possibilities: $\alpha(X_2)$ can be either X_1 or X_2 . Suppose $\alpha(X_2) = X_2$. Then $\alpha \in \text{Sym } X_1 \times \text{Sym } X_2$, and using the same argument as before we have $\alpha \in G_m$. Suppose $\alpha(X_2) = X_1$. Define $\beta = \gamma\alpha$. So

now β satisfies $\beta(X_1) = X_1$ and $\beta(X_2) = X_2$. Again using the same argument as before, we see that $\beta \in G_m$. Since $\gamma^2 = 1$, we have $\alpha = \gamma\beta$.

From the induction hypothesis it is clear that $|G_{i+1} : G_i| = 2$ for $i < m$. From the above, it is clear that this also holds for $i = m$. Therefore condition 4 is proven. Also G_{m+1} acts transitively on $\underline{2}^{m+1}$ and G_i for $i \leq m$ does not, which proves condition 3. I will now show condition 2. Let $\alpha \in N(G_{m+1})$. Since G_{m+1} is transitive, we can take $\delta \in G_{m+1}$ such that $\alpha(0) = \delta(0)$. Define $\beta = \delta^{-1}\alpha$, which stabilizes 0.

For any set X and subgroup $K \subset \text{Sym } X$ denote $(K)_x$ as the subgroup of all elements which stabilize $x \in X$. The following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &\in (\text{Sym } \underline{2}^{m+1})_0 \cap N(G_{m+1}) \\ &\subset N((\text{Sym } \underline{2}^{m+1})_0) \cap N(G_{m+1}) \\ &\subset N((\text{Sym } \underline{2}^{m+1})_0 \cap G_{m+1}) \\ &= N((G_{m+1})_0). \end{aligned}$$

All elements of G_0 stabilize 0, therefore $G_0 \subset (G_{m+1})_0$. From condition 4 follows $|G_{m+1} : G_0| = 2^{m+1}$. Since G_{m+1} is transitive, we see that $G_{m+1}/(G_{m+1})_0$ is in bijective correspondence to $\underline{2}^{m+1}$. Hence the index of $(G_{m+1})_0$ is 2^{m+1} . Therefore $G_0 = (G_{m+1})_0$. This shows that β is contained in G_1 . Hence $\beta \in G_{m+1}$ and also $\alpha \in G_{m+1}$. This shows $N(G_{m+1}) = G_{m+1}$.

Conditions 6 and 7 are left as an exercise for the reader. \square

Proof of 4.1. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and T a simple non-abelian group. Take a chain of subgroups $H_0 \subset \dots \subset H_m \subset \text{Sym } \underline{2}^m$ as in theorem 4.3. I will show that $(\text{Aut } T) \wr H_0$ satisfies the theorem.

From 3.1, 4.3.1 and 4.3.2, it follows that for $i \leq m$ holds $\text{Aut}^i((\text{Aut } T) \wr H_0) = (\text{Aut } T) \wr H_i$ and for $i \geq m$ holds $\text{Aut}^i((\text{Aut } T) \wr H_0) = (\text{Aut } T) \wr H_m$. Hence $(\text{Aut } T) \wr H_0$ has height at most m . Suppose $(\text{Aut } T) \wr H_i \cong (\text{Aut } T) \wr H_j$ for some i and j . One can extend theorem 3.2, with an almost identical proof, to get the following theorem: let S be a perfect semi-simple group. Let $G_1, G_2 \subset \text{Aut } S$ be subgroups with $\theta_S(S) \subset G_1, G_2$. Then the following map is a bijection:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \{\sigma \in \text{Aut } S \mid \sigma G_1 \sigma^{-1} = G_2\} &\rightarrow \{f \in \text{Hom}(G_1, G_2) \mid f \text{ is an isomorphism}\}, \\ \sigma &\mapsto (x \mapsto \sigma x \sigma^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Denote $S = T^{(\underline{2}^m)}$. For all k we have $\theta(S) \subset (\text{Aut } T) \wr H_k \subset \text{Aut } S$, hence we can use the extended version. Since $(\text{Aut } T) \wr H_i$ and $(\text{Aut } T) \wr H_j$ are isomorphic, we see that they are conjugate subgroups of $\text{Aut } S$. Therefore H_i and H_j are also conjugate. From cardinality follows $i = j$. From theorem 3.2 follows $Z((\text{Aut } T) \wr H_0) \subset \ker \psi = 1$. Hence $(\text{Aut } T) \wr H_0$ has a trivial center. Note that $\theta_{(\text{Aut } T) \wr H_m}$ is an isomorphism, since this is exactly ψ from theorem 3.2. \square

Proof of 4.2. For $m \geq 0$ and $0 \leq i \leq m$ denote $G_{i,m}$ as the i -th subgroup in the normalizer chain of length m as in 4.3. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ define $\Gamma_k = \prod_{m \geq k} G_{m,m}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ denote $H_k = G_{k,k} \times \Gamma_k$ as a subgroup of $\text{Sym } \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Claim 4.4. *Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then $N(H_i) = H_{i+1}$.*

Claim 4.5. *The center of $(\text{Aut } T) \wr H_0$ is trivial.*

Claim 4.6. *We have $\text{Aut } T \wr H_i \cong \text{Aut } T \wr H_j$ iff $i = j$.*

The proofs are very similar to 4.1 and 4.3. The third claim also uses that conjugate subgroups have the same multiset of orbit sizes. Note that H_i has orbits of size 2^n iff $n \geq i$. From the claims it should be clear that $(\text{Aut } T) \wr H_0$ satisfies the theorem. \square

5 Automorphism tower of p-adic integers

Theorem 1.3 is a direct consequence of theorem 5.2.

Theorem 5.1. *Let p be a prime and G a group. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $G \cong B \rtimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}_p$ for some finite group B and some homomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \text{Aut } B$,
- (2) there exists a surjective homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ with a finite kernel,
- (3) G is isomorphic to a subgroup of finite index of $C \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ for some finite group C .

Theorem 5.2. *If G satisfies (1)-(3) from theorem 5.1, then so does $\text{Aut } G$.*

Lemma 5.3. *Let G be a group with $|[G, G]| < \infty$. Then there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that for all $g \in G$ holds $g^m \in \text{Z}(G)$.*

Proof. I use the notation $[a, b] = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$. Note $\#\{[a, b] | a, b \in G\} < \infty$. Denote ${}^G g = \{hgh^{-1} | h \in G\}$. Let $g \in G$, then we have:

$$\#{}^G g = \#{}^G g \cdot g^{-1} = \#\{[x, g] : x \in G\} \leq \#[G, G].$$

For convenience, denote $n = \#[G, G]$. Consider the following exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Z}(G) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in G} \text{Sym}{}^G x.$$

Note that, for all x , G acts on ${}^G x$ by conjugation, which gives us a map $G \rightarrow \text{Sym}{}^G x$. This way we get the map in the exact sequence. Now we know $\#{}^G x \leq n$, therefore we see that the exponent of $\prod_{x \in G} \text{Sym}{}^G x$ divides $n!$. Now it is clear that $G/\text{Z}(G)$ has finite exponent since $\prod_{x \in G} \text{Sym}{}^G x$ has finite exponent. \square

In the proofs of the theorems 5.1 and 5.2, I need quite a lot of properties of \mathbb{Z}_p . The focus in this thesis lies on understanding automorphism towers, not on working out details of properties of \mathbb{Z}_p . I will state the needed properties of \mathbb{Z}_p as lemmas. Readers familiar with \mathbb{Z}_p will most likely know them, other readers can use them as an exercise.

Lemma 5.4. *Let p be prime. We have $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^*$.*

Lemma 5.5. *Let p be a prime and $H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a subgroup of finite index. Then H is of the form $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, which is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p .*

Lemma 5.6. *Let p be a prime and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}_p/p^n \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}$.*

Lemma 5.7. *Let p be a prime. Then \mathbb{Z}_p^* consists of exactly all elements of \mathbb{Z}_p that are not divisible by p . Also $\mathbb{Z}_2^* \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and for $p > 2$ we have $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$.*

Lemma 5.8. *Let p be a prime and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a characteristic subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p .*

The following lemma is somewhat trivial, but will be used throughout the proofs.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $A \subset B$ and C be groups with $|B : A| < \infty$ and $f : B \rightarrow C$ a surjective group homomorphism. Then $|C : f(A)| < \infty$.*

Proof. Left as an exercise for the reader. \square

Proof of theorem 5.1. (1) \Rightarrow (3). Define $C = B \rtimes_{\psi'} \text{Aut } B$ where $\psi' = \text{id}_{\text{Aut } B}$. Clearly the map $f : G \rightarrow C \times \mathbb{Z}_p, (b, x) \mapsto (b, \varphi(x), x)$ is an injective homomorphism. Note that since B is finite, $\text{Aut } B$ is finite. Therefore C is finite. Note that $C \times 0$ is a complete set of representatives of all cosets of $f(G)$ in $C \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. So $f(G)$ has finite index in $C \times \mathbb{Z}_p$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2). Let $\pi : C \times \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be the projection map. Since G is a subgroup of $C \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ of finite index, it follows from lemma 5.9 that $\pi(G)$ is also of finite index in \mathbb{Z}_p . But by lemma 5.5 we see that $\pi(G)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p . So there exists a surjective map from G to \mathbb{Z}_p . Note that $\ker \pi \cong C$, which is finite.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a surjective map. I will be taking restrictions of the domain and codomain of φ very often. To avoid unpractical notation, I will only use the restriction of the domain in the notation. It should be clear from the context what the codomain should be. We have the following short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \ker(\varphi) \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

I want to find a subgroup of G that is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p , where a restriction of φ is this isomorphism. If we can do this, we can use lemma 2.3 to get the needed result. Note that $G/\ker(\varphi)$ is abelian, hence $[G, G] \subset \ker(\varphi)$. The kernel of φ is finite, hence $|[G, G]| < \infty$. From lemma 5.3, we can pick $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that for all $g \in G$ holds $g^m \in Z(G)$. Now define $H = \langle \{g^m | g \in G\} \rangle$, which is a subgroup of $Z(G)$. We get the following short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow \ker(\varphi) \cap H \longrightarrow H \xrightarrow{\varphi|_H} m\mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

Denote by k the exponent of $\ker(\varphi) \cap H$ and $H^k = \{h^k | h \in H\}$. Note that H^k is a group since H is abelian. We see that $\varphi|_{H^k} : H^k \rightarrow m\mathbb{Z}_p$ is surjective and I will show that $\varphi|_{H^k}$ is also injective. Let $h^k \in H^k$ and suppose $\varphi|_{H^k}(h^k) = 0$. Note that \mathbb{Z}_p is torsion-free, therefore $\varphi|_{H^k}(h) = 0$. We can conclude $h \in \ker(\varphi) \cap H$. Since k was exactly the exponent of this group, we have $h^k = 0$. Thus $\varphi|_{H^k}$ is injective. This shows $H^k \cong m\mathbb{Z}_p$, where a restriction of φ is this isomorphism.

Let $\gamma \in G$ such that $\varphi(\gamma) = 1$. Write $mk = p^n \cdot l$ with $p \nmid l$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. For convenience, denote $\varphi|$ instead of $\varphi|_{\langle \gamma^l \rangle \cdot H^k}$. From lemma 5.7 follows that $l\mathbb{Z}_p$ is equal to \mathbb{Z}_p and from lemma 5.6 we can conclude $\mathbb{Z}_p/m\mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^k & \longrightarrow & \langle \gamma^l \rangle \cdot H^k & \longrightarrow & \langle \gamma^l \rangle H^k & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \varphi|_{H^k} & & \downarrow \varphi| & & \downarrow f & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & m\mathbb{Z}_p & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_p & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Note $\langle \gamma^l \rangle H^k \subset G/H^k$. Define f by lifting an element to $\langle \gamma^l \rangle \cdot H^k$ and then applying $\pi \circ \varphi|$. If $a, b \in \langle \gamma^l \rangle \cdot H^k$ are two different lifts, then $a - b \in H^k$. Using exactness and that the left square commutes we have $\pi(\varphi|(a) - \varphi|(b)) = 0$. This shows that f is well-defined. Note that γ^l is a lift of the coset $\gamma^l H^k$. Also $\varphi|(\gamma^l) = l$ is a generator of $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ because $p \nmid l$, hence f is surjective. Also note that $\langle \gamma^l \rangle H^k$ has at most p^n elements since $\gamma^{p^n \cdot l} = \gamma^{mk} \in H^k$. Since f is surjective and $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ has p^n elements, it must be an isomorphism.

The short five lemma states that if the diagram commutes, the two rows are short exact sequences and the maps $\varphi|_{H^k}$ and f are isomorphisms, then so is $\varphi|$. All these conditions are true, hence $\varphi|$ is also an isomorphism. Define $g : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow G$ as the map $\varphi|^{-1}$ with a

bigger codomain. We have $g\varphi = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, thus from lemma 2.3 follows that G is isomorphic to $\ker(\varphi) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. \square

In the next proof I use a little bit of group cohomology. The following two definitions will cover everything I need.

Definition 5.10. Let G be a group, M an abelian group and $\psi : G \times M \rightarrow M$ a map. We say that M is a left G -module if ψ is a left group action from G on M such that for all $g \in G, a, b \in M$ we have $g(a + b) = ga + gb$, where ga denotes $\psi(g, a)$.

Definition 5.11. Let G be a group and M a left G -module. A crossed homomorphism or 1-cocycle is a map $f : G \rightarrow M$ such that for all $g, h \in G$ holds $f(gh) = f(g) + gf(h)$. The abelian group of crossed homomorphisms is denoted $Z^1(G, M)$.

Proof of 5.2. Since G satisfies (1), we can take B such that $G \cong B \rtimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}_p$. It is enough to show that there exists a surjective homomorphism $\text{Aut}(B \rtimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ with finite kernel.

Note that B is a characteristic subgroup of G , since B is the set of torsion elements of G , and $G/B \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut } G$. Note that $\bar{\sigma} : G/B \rightarrow G/B, \bar{g} \mapsto \overline{\sigma(g)}$ is a well-defined automorphism. This shows that we have a map $f_1 : \text{Aut } G \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p$. I will now show that the image of f_1 has finite index in $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p$. I will do this by showing that the subgroup $H := \{\alpha \in \text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p : \psi\alpha = \psi\}$ has finite index in $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p$ and is contained in $\text{Im}(f_1)$.

From lemma 5.8 we have the canonical map $\gamma_n : \text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^n\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Write $|\text{Aut } B| = p^m \cdot l$ with $p \nmid l$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $\alpha \in \ker(\gamma_m)$. We have that the following diagram commutes, where $\bar{\psi}$ is defined such that the right triangle commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z}_p & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathbb{Z}_p & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \text{Aut } B \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi & \nearrow \bar{\psi} & \\ \mathbb{Z}_p/p^m\mathbb{Z}_p & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{Z}_p/p^m\mathbb{Z}_p & & \end{array}$$

From this follows $\ker(\gamma_m) \subset H$. Clearly $\ker(\gamma_m)$ has finite index in $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p$, hence H has finite index in $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p$ as well. For $\alpha \in H$ we can define $g_\alpha : G \rightarrow G, (b, x) \mapsto (b, \alpha(x))$. From $\psi\alpha = \psi$ follows that g_α is an homomorphism. Since α is an automorphism, g_α is as well. We can now conclude $H \subset \text{Im}(f_1)$, which shows that $\text{Im}(f_1)$ has finite index in $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p$.

I will now show that the kernel of f_1 is finite. Define $\gamma : \ker(f_1) \rightarrow \text{Aut } B, \sigma \mapsto \sigma|_B$. We have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(\gamma) \hookrightarrow \ker(f_1) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{Aut } B.$$

Note that for any exact sequence of groups $G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G_3$ holds that if both G_1 and G_3 are finite, then so is G_2 . Hence it is enough to show that $\ker(\gamma)$ is finite. Let $\sigma \in \ker(\gamma)$, so we have $\sigma|_B = \text{id}_B$. Since $\ker(\gamma) \subset \ker(f_1)$, we have that by definition of f_1 the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \hookrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \hookrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Note that the rows are exact since $G/B \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. Define $\alpha : G \rightarrow B, x \mapsto \sigma(x)x^{-1}$. The map

α is not necessarily a group homomorphism. It is well-defined, since we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(x)x^{-1} \in B &\Leftrightarrow \pi(\sigma(x)x^{-1}) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \pi(\sigma(x)) = \pi(x).\end{aligned}$$

The last statement is true since the diagram above commutes. Clearly $\sigma(x) = \alpha(x)x$, so if I can show that there are at most finitely many α we are done. Let $x \in G$ and $y \in B$. Since σ is the identity on B , we have:

$$\alpha(xy) = \sigma(x)\sigma(y)y^{-1}x^{-1} = \sigma(x)x^{-1} = \alpha(x).$$

Note $yx \in Bx = xB$ since B is normal in G . Therefore $\alpha(yx) = \alpha(x)$. From this follows:

$$\alpha(x) = \alpha(yx) = \sigma(y)\alpha(x)y^{-1} = y\alpha(x)y^{-1}.$$

This proves $\alpha(x) \in Z(B)$. Combining the above, we have a map $\bar{\alpha} : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow Z(B)$. Since $\bar{\alpha}$ and α correspond bijectively (follows from universal property), I only need to show there are only finitely many maps like $\bar{\alpha}$. Define the following action of \mathbb{Z}_p on $Z(B)$: for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $b \in Z(B)$ pick the lift $(1, a) \in G$. Define $a \cdot b = (1, a)(b, 0)(1, -a)$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \text{Aut } Z(B)$ as the map corresponding to this action. I will denote ${}^a b = a \cdot b$ to be consistent with previous notation. Note that ${}^a b$ is contained in $Z(B)$ because φ is the composition of ψ and the restriction map $\text{Aut } B \rightarrow \text{Aut } Z(B)$, which exists because $Z(B)$ is characteristic in B . To avoid confusion, I will denote $Z(B)$ multiplicatively. Let $(1, a), (1, b) \in G$. Note $\bar{\alpha}(a) = \alpha(1, a)$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\alpha}(a + b) &= \alpha(1, a + b) \\ &= \sigma(1, a)\sigma(1, b)(1, -a - b) \\ &= \sigma(1, a)(1, -a)(1, a)\sigma(1, b)(1, -b)(1, -a) \\ &= \alpha(1, a) \cdot ({}^{1,a})\alpha(1, b) \\ &= \bar{\alpha}(a) {}^a \bar{\alpha}(b).\end{aligned}$$

So we see $\bar{\alpha} \in Z^1(\mathbb{Z}_p, Z(B))$. I need to prove that $Z^1(\mathbb{Z}_p, Z(B))$ is finite. Since $\text{Aut } Z(B)$ is finite, we see that the kernel of φ must be a subgroup of finite index in \mathbb{Z}_p . Thus by lemma 5.5 we can take $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\ker(\varphi) = p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$. We have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow p^m \mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{Aut } Z(B).$$

We see that the action of $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$ on $Z(B)$ is trivial, hence $\bar{\alpha}(a + b) = \bar{\alpha}(a)\bar{\alpha}(b)$ for $a, b \in p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$. This proves $Z^1(p^m \mathbb{Z}_p, Z(B)) = \text{Hom}(p^m \mathbb{Z}_p, Z(B))$, which is finite. Define $r : Z^1(\mathbb{Z}_p, Z(B)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(p^m \mathbb{Z}_p, Z(B))$, $f \mapsto f|_{p^m \mathbb{Z}_p}$. We have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(r) \hookrightarrow Z^1(\mathbb{Z}_p, Z(B)) \xrightarrow{r} \text{Hom}(p^m \mathbb{Z}_p, Z(B)).$$

Let $f \in \ker(r)$. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $x \in p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}f(a + x) &= f(a) \cdot {}^a f(x) \\ &= f(a) \cdot {}^a 1 \\ &= f(a).\end{aligned}$$

The second equality holds because f was trivial on $p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$. Thus we can define the map $\bar{f} : \mathbb{Z}_p/p^m \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow Z(B)$ which is contained in $Z^1(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^m \mathbb{Z}_p, Z(B))$. This proves $|\ker(r)| \leq$

$|Z^1(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^m\mathbb{Z}_p, Z(B))|$. From lemma 5.6 we know that $\mathbb{Z}_p/p^m\mathbb{Z}_p$ is finite. Since $Z(B)$ is as well, we see that $Z^1(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^m\mathbb{Z}_p, Z(B))$ must be finite and $\ker(r)$ is finite as well.

Since we have an exact sequence where both $\ker(r)$ and $\text{Hom}(p^m\mathbb{Z}_p, Z(B))$ are finite, we see that $Z^1(\mathbb{Z}_p, Z(B))$ is finite. This proves that there are only finitely many $\bar{\alpha}$, thus only finitely many α and thus $\ker(f_1)$ is finite.

By lemma 5.4 and 5.7, we have a surjective map with finite kernel $f_2 : \text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$. Using lemma 5.9, we see that the image of $f_2 \circ f_1$ has finite index in \mathbb{Z}_p . Now using lemma 5.5, this is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p . Call the isomorphism f_3 . Now $f_3 \circ f_2 \circ f_1$ is a surjective map. Each f_i has a finite kernel, hence the composition has finite kernel as well. \square

6 Automorphism groups of finite products of abelian groups

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. Denote \mathcal{C}_0 as the collection of objects and \mathcal{C}_1 as the collection of morphisms. Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_0$, I denote $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ as the set of morphisms from X to Y and $\text{Aut } X$ as the set of invertible morphisms from X to X .

Definition 6.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a category. Then \mathcal{C} is an additive category if for each $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_0$ we have that $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ is an abelian group, composition is bilinear and \mathcal{C} has all finite products.*

Theorem 6.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category, I a finite set and let $X_i \in \mathcal{C}_0$ for each $i \in I$. Denote $Z = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$. Then $B = \prod_{i, j \in I} \mathcal{C}(X_j, X_i)$ is a ring where addition is coordinate wise and multiplication is defined as*

$$(f_{ij})_{i, j \in I} \cdot (g_{ij})_{i, j \in I} := \left(\sum_{k \in I} f_{ik} \circ g_{kj} \right)_{i, j \in I},$$

where we have $(f_{ij})_{i, j \in I}, (g_{ij})_{i, j \in I} \in B$ with $f_{ij}, g_{ij} \in \mathcal{C}(X_j, X_i)$. Also, $\mathcal{C}(Z, Z)$ is a ring, with composition as multiplication, which is isomorphic as a ring to B . And $\text{Aut } Z$ and B^* are isomorphic as groups.

The operations of B are similar to the matrix operations. I will call B the matrix ring over $(X_i)_{i \in I}$, and its elements are called matrices.

Theorem 6.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category, I a finite set and let $X_i \in \mathcal{C}_0$ for each $i \in I$. Then $\text{Aut}(\prod_{i \in I} X_i)$ is abelian iff the following statements hold:*

1. $\forall i \in I : \text{Aut } X_i$ is abelian,
2. $\forall i, j \in I, i \neq j, f \in \text{Aut } X_i : (\forall g \in \mathcal{C}(X_j, X_i) : fg = g) \wedge (\forall g \in \mathcal{C}(X_i, X_j) : gf = g)$,
3. $\forall i, j, k \in I, i \neq k \neq j : \mathcal{C}(X_k, X_j)\mathcal{C}(X_i, X_k) = 0$.

Also if $\text{Aut}(\prod_{i \in I} X_i)$ is abelian, then holds:

$$\text{Aut}\left(\prod_{i \in I} X_i\right) \cong \left(\prod_{i \in I} \text{Aut } X_i\right) \Pi \left(\prod_{i \neq j \in I} \text{Hom}(X_i, X_j)\right).$$

I want to emphasize that I did not make a mistake in 6.3.3, I do allow $i = j$.

Products and coproducts are equal in additive categories, see [3] page 196. This is needed in the following lemma.

Lemma 6.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be an additive category, I a finite set and let $X_i \in \mathcal{C}_0$ for each $i \in I$. Denote $Z = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$, $\pi_i : Z \rightarrow X_i$ the projections and $p_i : Z \rightarrow X_i$ the coprojections. Let $Y \in \mathcal{C}_0$. Then $\varphi : \mathcal{C}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{C}(Y, X_i)$, $f \mapsto (\pi_i \circ f)_{i \in I}$ and $\varphi' : \mathcal{C}(Z, Y) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{C}(X_i, Y)$, $f \mapsto (f \circ p_i)_{i \in I}$ are isomorphisms.*

Proof. Note that since composition is bilinear, we see that φ is actually a group homomorphism. If we are given $(f_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{C}(Y, X_i)$, then by the categorical definition of the product we get a unique map $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ such that for each $i \in I$ we have $f_i = \pi_i \circ f$. It is clear by this condition that mapping such a collection to the unique map is the inverse of φ . The proof that φ' is an isomorphism is very similar. \square

Proof of theorem 6.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(Z, Z)$. By applying lemma 6.4, we see that f corresponds bijectively to the family $(f_{ij} : X_j \rightarrow X_i)_{i, j \in I}$. Define $\Phi : \mathcal{C}(Z, Z) \rightarrow B$, $f \mapsto (f_{ij})_{i, j \in I}$. It is clear that Φ is a group isomorphism. Also Φ respects composition, which can be verified by working out the definition. Thus Φ is a ring isomorphism, from which it also follows that B is a ring. In particular, invertible elements are mapped to invertible elements. This means $\mathcal{C}(Z, Z)^*$ and B^* are isomorphic, where the isomorphism is Φ with restricted domain and codomain. Note that $\text{Aut } Z$ is actually $\mathcal{C}(Z, Z)^*$. \square

Proof of theorem 6.3. Let B be the matrix ring over $(X_i)_{i \in I}$. We know from theorem 6.2 that B^* is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(\prod_{i \in I} X_i)$. In the proof I will only use B^* instead of $\text{Aut}(\prod_{i \in I} X_i)$. Note that if I is empty or contains exactly one element, the theorem is trivial. Assume $|I| \geq 2$ for the rest of the proof.

\Rightarrow . I will prove the statements in order. I will constantly be defining elements of B , which I do by defining what they are on each ‘‘coordinate’’ (i, j) . Whenever I do so, I will only specify what is different from the identity element (identity morphisms on the diagonal and zero elsewhere). This saves a lot of words. I will also be redefining a and b in every part, so they ‘‘reset’’ after a statement is done proving.

1. Let $i \in I$ and $f, g \in \text{Aut } X_i$. Define $a, b \in B^*$ as the elements with $a_{ii} = f$ and $b_{ii} = g$. Now B^* is assumed to be abelian, so we have $fg = (ab)_{ii} = (ba)_{ii} = gf$. This shows that $\mathcal{C}(X_i, X_i)^*$ is abelian.

2. Let $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$, $f \in \text{Aut } X_i$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}(X_i, X_j)$. Redefine $a, b \in B^*$ as elements with $a_{ii} = f$ and $b_{ji} = g$. Now $gf = (ba)_{ji} = (ab)_{ji} = g$. The other statement has an analogous proof.

3. Let $i, j, k \in I$ with $i \neq k \neq j$. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(X_k, X_j)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}(X_i, X_k)$. Redefine $a, b \in B^*$ as elements with $a_{jk} = f$ and $b_{ki} = g$. Now if $i \neq j$ we have $fg = (ab)_{ji} = (ba)_{ji} = 0$. If $i = j$ we have $\text{id} + fg = (ab)_{ii} = (ba)_{ii} = \text{id}$, which also implies $fg = 0$.

\Leftarrow . Let $a, b \in B^*$. Write $a = (a_{ij})_{i, j \in I}$ and $b = (b_{ij})_{i, j \in I}$ with $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \mathcal{C}(X_j, X_i)$. Then we have:

$$(ab)_{ij} = \sum_{k \in I} a_{ik} \circ b_{kj},$$

$$(ba)_{ij} = \sum_{k \in I} b_{ik} \circ a_{kj}.$$

I will need to show that ab and ba are equal on each coordinate. From 6.3.3 follows the equality $(ab)_{ii} = a_{ii}b_{ii}$. From 6.3.1 we get $(ab)_{ii} = (ba)_{ii}$ for each $i \in I$. Now take $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$. We have:

$$(ab)_{ij} = \sum_{k \in I} a_{ik}b_{kj} = b_{ij} + a_{ij} + \sum_{k \neq i, j} a_{ik}b_{kj} = b_{ij} + a_{ij}.$$

Note that the second equality holds because of 6.3.2 and the third equality holds because of 6.3.3. Clearly this now must be equal to $(ba)_{ij}$, so a and b commute.

The last statement about the isomorphism is obvious when one works out matrix multiplication and uses conditions 1-3 to simplify the result. \square

7 Abelian automorphism towers for finitely generated abelian groups

Theorem 7.1. *Let A be a finitely generated abelian group. Then A has an abelian automorphism tower iff A is cyclic and $|A|$ is contained in one of the following sets:*

- a) $\{3^m, 2 \cdot 3^m | m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}$
- b) $\{2 \cdot 3^m + 1, 2(2 \cdot 3^m + 1) | m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, 2 \cdot 3^m + 1 \text{ is prime}\}$
- c) $\{1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 11, 22, 23, 46, 47, 94\}$
- d) $\{\infty\}$

In this chapter I will refer to these four sets as X_a, X_b, X_c and X_d .

Theorem 7.2. *Let A be a finitely generated abelian group. Then $\text{Aut } A$ is abelian iff A is cyclic or $A \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. \Leftarrow . We have $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong V_4$ and $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $A \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. We have $\text{End } A \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ (as rings), so clearly $\text{Aut } A = (\text{End } A)^*$ is abelian.

\Rightarrow . Since A is finitely generated, we have $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/k_i\mathbb{Z}$ with $k_i | k_{i+1}$, $r, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and if $n > 0$ then $k_1 > 1$.

Suppose $r > 1$. Then there is an injective map from $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$ into $\text{Aut } A$. We can now apply theorem 6.3 with $I = \underline{2}$ and $X_0 = X_1 = \mathbb{Z}$. By statement 6.3.3 must hold $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \circ \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Clearly this is not the case, hence $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$ is not abelian. This means $\text{Aut } A$ cannot be abelian, which is a contradiction. So $r \leq 1$.

Suppose $n > 1$. There is an injective map from $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/k_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/ak_1\mathbb{Z})$ to $\text{Aut } A$, with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ak_1 = k_2$. Define $f : \mathbb{Z}/ak_1\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/k_1\mathbb{Z}$ the projection map and define $g : \mathbb{Z}/k_1\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/ak_1\mathbb{Z}$ as multiplying by a . Now holds: $gf(1+ak_1\mathbb{Z}) = g(1+k_1\mathbb{Z}) = a+ak_1\mathbb{Z}$. Since $k_1 > 1$ and $a > 0$ we have $gf \neq 0$. By 6.3.3, we see that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/k_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/ak_1\mathbb{Z})$ is not abelian, and neither is $\text{Aut } A$. This is a contradiction, so $n \leq 1$.

If (r, n) is $(0, 0)$, $(1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$ we see that A satisfies the theorem. Suppose $(r, n) = (1, 1)$. This means $A \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Define $\pi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ the canonical quotient map and $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$. Now from 6.3.2 follows $f\pi = \pi$. Since π is surjective, we have that f is the identity. Thus $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) = 1$, which implies $m = 2$. \square

Definition 7.3. *Define $\lambda : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ as a map of sets where $\lambda(n)$ is the exponent of $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$. This λ is called the Carmichael function.*

Proposition 7.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then $\varphi(n) = \lambda(n)$ iff n equals $1, 2, 4, p^k$ or $2p^k$ for an odd prime p and an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.*

Proof. See theorem 7.3 of [4]. \square

Lemma 7.5. *Let A be a finitely generated abelian group. Then $\text{Aut } A$ is cyclic iff $A \cong \mathbb{Z}$ or $A \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with $\varphi(n) = \lambda(n)$.*

Proof. \Leftarrow . We have $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Note that $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Also $\varphi(n) = |\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}|$. So we can conclude $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is cyclic iff $\varphi(n) = \lambda(n)$.

\Rightarrow . Since $\text{Aut } A$ is cyclic, it is certainly abelian. So from theorem 7.2 follows that $A \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ or A is cyclic. We have $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong V_4$, which is not cyclic. Hence A must be cyclic. Suppose $A \not\cong \mathbb{Z}$. Take $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $A \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. It is clear from the above that $\varphi(n) = \lambda(n)$. \square

Lemma 7.6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $\varphi(n) = \lambda(n)$ and $\varphi(n) \in X_a \cup X_b$. Then $n \in X_a \cup X_b$.*

Proof. From lemma 7.4 follows that n equals 1, 2, 4, p^k or $2p^k$ for an odd prime p and integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. The smallest integer in $X_a \cup X_b$ is 3 so n cannot be 1, 2 or 4. Let p be an odd prime and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $n = p^k$ or $n = 2p^k$. In either case we have $\varphi(n) = (p-1)p^{k-1}$.

Assume $\varphi(n) = 2 \cdot 3^m$ with $m \geq 1$. If $k > 1$, we see that p must equal 3 so $n \in X_a$. Suppose $k = 1$. There can only be one possible prime such that φ sends it to $2 \cdot 3^m$ and that is $2 \cdot 3^m + 1$. So $n \in X_b$.

Assume $\varphi(n) = 2q$, where $q = 2 \cdot 3^m + 1$ is prime and $m \geq 1$. Suppose $k > 1$. Then we have $(p-1)p^{k-1} = 2q$. Since there is at least one factor p , we must have $p = q$. However, also $p-1 = 2$ must hold. This is a contradiction. For $k = 1$ we have $p-1 = 2q$. We have: $2q+1 = 4 \cdot 3^m + 3$, which is divisible by 3. So p is not prime, which is a contradiction.

Other cases do not exist, since either $\varphi(n) = 1$ or $2 \mid \varphi(n)$. \square

Proof of theorem 7.1. \Leftarrow . We have $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{Aut}^2 \mathbb{Z} \cong 0$, so \mathbb{Z} does indeed have an abelian automorphism tower.

Suppose $A \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ for $n = 2 \cdot 3^m$. We have $\varphi(n) = \lambda(n)$ by proposition 7.4, hence by lemma 7.5 we see that $\text{Aut } A$ is cyclic. Now $\varphi(2 \cdot 3^m) = 2 \cdot 3^{m-1}$. We now see that $\text{Aut}^2 A$ is also cyclic. Since we keep getting powers of 3 multiplied by 2, we keep getting cyclic groups. After $m+1$ steps, we get the trivial group. Hence A has an abelian automorphism tower. Note that for any odd integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ holds $\varphi(2n) = \varphi(n)$. Therefore $\mathbb{Z}/3^m\mathbb{Z}$ also has an abelian automorphism tower.

Suppose $A \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ for $n = 2 \cdot 3^m + 1$ prime. This prime is odd, so it satisfies proposition 7.4. Now $\varphi(n) = n-1 = 2 \cdot 3^m$. We have already checked above that a cyclic group of this order has an abelian automorphism tower, hence A does as well. Since n is odd, we see that $\varphi(2n) = \varphi(n)$. So $\mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}$ also has an abelian automorphism tower.

From proposition 7.4 and lemma 7.5 follows that for all $n \in X_c$ we have $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is cyclic. One can also calculate that for all $n \in X_c$ we have $|\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}| \in X_c$. Thus $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ has an abelian automorphism tower for $n \in X_c$.

\Rightarrow . Note $\text{Aut}^2(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Sym } \mathfrak{3}$. From theorem 7.2, we can conclude that every group in the automorphism tower of A is cyclic. Assume $A \not\cong \mathbb{Z}$. So A is a finite group and all groups in the automorphism tower of A must be finite as well. Take $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $A \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. We can repeatedly use lemma 7.5 and we get the following statement: for all $i \geq 0$ holds $\text{Aut}^i A \cong \mathbb{Z}/\varphi^i(n)\mathbb{Z}$, where $\varphi^i(n)$ is the i -th iteration of φ . Note $\varphi^0(n) = n$. We also have that $\varphi^i(n) = \lambda^i(n)$ for all $i \geq 0$, again from lemma 7.5.

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that for all $i \geq 0$ holds $\varphi^i(n) = \lambda^i(n)$. To prove this theorem, it is enough to show that n must be contained in either X_a, X_b or X_c . Assume $n \notin X_a \cup X_b$. The cases $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ are trivial, so also assume $n \geq 4$. By repeated use of lemma 7.6, we see that for all $i \geq 0$ holds $\varphi^i(n) \notin X_a \cup X_b$. I claim that there exists an $i \geq 0$

such that $\varphi^i(n) = 4$. We know by proposition 7.4, that $\varphi^i(n)$ must be 4, p^k or $2p^k$ for an odd prime p and $k \geq 1$. The automorphism tower must eventually reach the trivial group, hence there is some $i \geq 0$ such that $\varphi^i(n) = 1$. This implies, if we take i minimal, $\varphi^{i-1}(n) = 2$. The only way to get 2 is through 3, 6 or 4. Since $3, 6 \in X_a \cup X_b$, we must reach it through 4. The only thing we want to do is calculate the following set:

$$\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 4} \mid n \in \{4, p^k, 2p^k \mid p \text{ odd prime}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}, \exists i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} : \varphi^i(n) = 4\}.$$

There is no φ^{-1} , but we can calculate what integers map to n under φ by hand for small numbers. If we work our way backwards from 4, one would not expect that we get a finite set. However, since every element must be (2 times) a prime power, we do get a finite set. The set is $\{5, 10, 11, 22, 23, 47, 94\}$. So $n \in X_c$, which completes the proof. \square

8 Basic subgroups

In this chapter I will develop the theory of p -basic subgroups, which some readers may already know. I approach the theory with a definition that is less common in the literature. I will also show useful properties about p -basic subgroups that are needed for the proofs in the next chapter. First I will introduce a useful convention when talking about vector spaces, after which I will need a long introduction for the definition of a p -basic subgroup.

Let K be a field, V be a K -vector space, I a set and let $a : I \rightarrow V, i \mapsto a_i$ be a function. Now a induces a map $f_a : K^{(I)} \rightarrow V, (\lambda_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i a_i$. We say a generates V when f_a is surjective. We say a is linearly independent over K when f_a is injective. We say that a is a basis for V if f_a is an isomorphism.

Let A be an abelian group and p a prime. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ define $A[n] := \{a \in A : na = 0\}$. Denote $V = A/pA$ as an \mathbb{F}_p -vector space. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ define $V_i := \text{im}(A[p^i] \rightarrow A/pA, x \mapsto x + pA)$ and $V_\infty := \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} V_i$ as subspaces of V . So we get the following sequence:

$$0 = V_0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_\infty \subseteq V = A/pA.$$

Choose $S_\infty \subset A$ such that $S_\infty \rightarrow V/V_\infty, s \mapsto (s + pA) + V_\infty$ is a basis for V/V_∞ . For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ choose $S_i \subset A[p^i]$ such that $S_i \rightarrow V_i/V_{i-1}, s \mapsto (s + pA) + V_{i-1}$ is a basis for V_i/V_{i-1} . Define the following group:

$$B := \left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} (\mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z})^{(S_i)} \right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{(S_\infty)}.$$

Note that we have $|S_\infty| = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V/V_\infty)$ and $|S_i| = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V_i/V_{i-1})$. Note that B only depends on the size of S_i , so B does not depend on the choice of S_i (up to isomorphism). Now define $\varphi : B \rightarrow A$ in the same manner we induced f_a from a , which does depend on the choice of S_i .

Definition 8.1. *Let A be an abelian group and p a prime. Then $(B, (S_i)_{i \in \{\infty\} \cup \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}, \varphi)$ is called a p -basic subgroup of A .*

As done more often with definitions, I will just say that B is a p -basic subgroup of A and not state S_i and φ explicitly. Throughout this and the next chapter I will always denote the sets S_i and the map φ whenever I talk about a p -basic subgroup. The definition becomes

more useful when φ is actually injective, so we can see B as a subgroup of A . This is actually the case. The following two theorems show some important properties of a p -basic subgroup.

Theorem 8.2. *Let A be an abelian group, p a prime and B a p -basic subgroup. Then φ is injective.*

Theorem 8.3. *Let A be an abelian group, p a prime, B a p -basic subgroup and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Denote the following subgroup of B :*

$$B_n := \bigoplus_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} (\mathbb{Z}/p^i \mathbb{Z})^{(S_i)}.$$

Then the following short exact sequence is split:

$$0 \rightarrow B_n \xrightarrow{\varphi|_{B_n}} A \rightarrow A/\varphi(B_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

The following theorem is a useful result and is crucial in my proofs of the two theorems above.

Theorem 8.4. *Let A be an abelian group, p a prime, B a p -basic subgroup and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then φ induces a map $\psi_n : B/p^n B \rightarrow A/p^n A$, which is an isomorphism.*

Before proving these three theorems, I will prove two lemmas. These lemmas are helpful in general, since they give an idea of what the V_i look like.

Lemma 8.5. *We have $V/V_\infty \cong A/(pA + A_{\text{tors}})$ and for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we have $V_i/V_{i-1} \cong A[p^i]/(pA[p^{i+1}] + A[p^{i-1}])$, where the isomorphisms are \mathbb{F}_p -vector space isomorphisms.*

Proof. First note that any isomorphism between abelian groups is also a \mathbb{Z} -module isomorphism. In all stated quotients we see that multiplying any element by p is always the zero element, so any group isomorphism will be an \mathbb{F}_p -vector space isomorphism.

Define $\varphi : A \rightarrow V/V_\infty, a \mapsto (a + pA) + V_\infty$. This φ is a surjective map. So if I can show that the kernel is exactly $pA + A_{\text{tors}}$, the first isomorphism theorem proves the first part. Let $a \in A$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow a + pA \in V_\infty \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} : a + pA \in V_i \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} : \exists b \in A[p^i] : a + pA = b + pA \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} : \exists b \in A[p^i], c \in A : a = b + pc \\ &\Rightarrow \exists b \in A_{\text{tors}}, c \in A : a = b + pc. \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to show that the converse of the last implication holds. Let $a \in pA + A_{\text{tors}}$ and write $a = pc + b$. Let n be the order of b . I need to find an $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $y \in A[p^i]$ and $c' \in A$ such that $pc + b = pc' + y$. It is enough to find a $c' \in A$ such that $p(c - c') + b$ has order dividing p^i . To have fewer letters: it is enough to find $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $d \in A$ such that $p^i(b + pd) = 0$.

Write $n = p^t \cdot n'$ with $p \nmid n'$. Since $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is a field, we can take $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $kn' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Take $i = t$ and $d = \frac{kn'-1}{p}b$ and it works.

I will now prove the other isomorphism. Define $\psi : A[p^i] \rightarrow V_i/V_{i-1}, a \mapsto a + pA + V_{i-1}$. Again ψ is surjective, so by the first isomorphism theorem it is enough to show ψ has

$pA[p^{i+1}] + A[p^{i-1}]$ as kernel. Let $a \in A[p^i]$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(a) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow a + pA \in V_{i-1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists b \in A[p^{i-1}] : a + pA = b + pA \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists b \in A[p^{i-1}], c \in A : a = b + pc \\ &\Leftarrow \exists b \in A[p^{i-1}], c \in A[p^{i+1}] : a = b + pc.\end{aligned}$$

I will show that the other implication holds as well. Let $a \in A[p^i]$ such that $\psi(a) = 0$ and write $a = b + pc$ with $b \in A[p^{i-1}]$ and $c \in A$. Now we have:

$$0 = p^i a = p^i(b + pc) = p^i b + p^{i+1} c = p^{i+1} c.$$

Hence we have $c \in A[p^{i+1}]$ and we are done. \square

Lemma 8.6. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we have that $\coprod_{i=1}^n S_i$ is a basis for V_n as \mathbb{F}_p -vector space. Also $\coprod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} S_i$ is a basis for V_∞ and $S_\infty \amalg \coprod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} S_i$ is a basis for V .

Proof. I will show the first part using induction on n . Since $V_0 = 0$, the case $n = 1$ is clear. Let $n > 1$. Now we have the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow V_n \rightarrow V_{n+1} \rightarrow V_{n+1}/V_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Every short exact sequence of vector spaces splits, which tells us we have the following isomorphism: $V_{n+1} \cong V_n \oplus V_{n+1}/V_n$. From this isomorphism and induction it is evident that $S_{n+1} \amalg \coprod_{i=1}^n S_i$ is a basis for V_{n+1} .

Since V_∞ is the union of all V_i , the disjoint union of the bases of V_i will give a basis for V_∞ . The last part follows with an analogous proof from a short exact sequence. \square

Proof of theorem 8.4. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_n \\ B/p^n B & \xrightarrow{\psi_n} & A/p^n A \end{array}$$

The map ψ_n comes from the universal property of the quotient group. So ψ_n is unique and exists if $p^n B$ is contained in the kernel of $\pi_n \circ \varphi$. Note that we have $\varphi(p^n B) = p^n \varphi(B) \subset p^n A$, hence ψ_n exists.

I will prove this theorem by induction on n . For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have the following isomorphism:

$$B/p^n B \cong \left(\bigoplus_{i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}} (\mathbb{Z}/p^i \mathbb{Z})^{(S_i)} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq n}} (\mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z})^{(S_i)} \right) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z})^{(S_\infty)}.$$

For the base case, $n = 1$, we see that B/pB is a large direct sum consisting of only \mathbb{F}_p . Define $a : S_\infty \amalg \coprod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} S_i \rightarrow V$ as in lemma 8.6 such that a is a basis for V as \mathbb{F}_p -vector space. Now ψ_1 is exactly f_a , so ψ_1 is an isomorphism.

Suppose $n > 1$. We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & pB/p^n B & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & B/p^n B & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & B/pB \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \psi_n|_{pB} & & \downarrow \psi_n & & \downarrow \psi_1 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & pA/p^n A & \xrightarrow{\iota_2} & A/p^n A & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & A/pA \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The short five lemma states that if the diagram commutes, the two rows are short exact sequences and the maps $\psi_n|_{pB}$ and ψ_1 are isomorphisms, then so is ψ_n . By the induction hypothesis we know that ψ_1 is an isomorphism. It is fairly straightforward to show that both squares commute, hence I will leave this to the reader.

To show that $\psi_n|_{pB}$ is an isomorphism, we need to do some work. First, define $A' = A/A[p]$. Define $V = A'/pA'$ and V'_i and V'_∞ in the same manner as before with A replaced by A' . I claim we can choose $S'_i = S_{i+1}$ and $S'_\infty = S_\infty$ to define B' as a p -basic subgroup. The following proves this, using lemma 8.5:

$$\begin{aligned} V'_i/V'_{i-1} &\cong A'[p^i]/(pA'[p^{i+1}] + A'[p^{i-1}]) \\ &\cong (A[p^{i+1}]/A[p]) / ((pA[p^{i+2}]/A[p]) + (A[p^i]/A[p])) \\ &\cong A[p^{i+1}]/(pA[p^{i+2}] + A[p^i]) \\ &\cong V_{i+1}/V_i. \end{aligned}$$

Now if we express B' in terms of S_i , we have the following:

$$B' = \left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} (\mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z})^{(S_{i+1})} \right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{(S_\infty)}.$$

Consider the map $f : A \rightarrow pA, a \mapsto pa$. Clearly the kernel is $A[p]$, so f induces an isomorphism between A' and pA . Now we have:

$$pA/p^n A = pA/p^{n-1}(pA) \cong A'/p^{n-1}A'.$$

This isomorphism is induced by f , so again it is multiplication by p . Denote this isomorphism by $\tilde{f} : A'/p^{n-1}A' \rightarrow pA/p^n A$. In exactly the same manner, we get the isomorphism $\tilde{g} : B'/p^{n-1}B' \rightarrow pB/p^n B$. Since A' satisfies the induction hypothesis, we have ψ_{n-1} is an isomorphism. We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B'/p^{n-1}B' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & pB/p^n B \\ \downarrow \psi_{n-1} & & \downarrow \psi_n|_{pB} \\ A'/p^{n-1}A' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & pA/p^n A \end{array}$$

Showing that the square commutes is showing that multiplying with p before ψ is the same as multiplying after ψ . However, ψ is \mathbb{Z} -linear so this is trivial. This shows that $\psi_n|_{pB}$ is an isomorphism since the three other maps are isomorphisms. \square

Proof of theorem 8.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, denote ψ_n the isomorphism as in theorem 8.4 and $\pi_n : B \rightarrow B/p^n B$ the quotient map of B . The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A \\ \downarrow \pi_n & & \downarrow \\ B/p^n B & \xrightarrow{\psi_n} & A/p^n A \end{array}$$

From the commuting property and that ψ_n is an isomorphism follows $\ker(\varphi) \subset \ker(\pi_n) = p^n B$. We even get: $\ker(\varphi) \subset \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} p^n B$. We know B explicitly and it is clear that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} p^n B = 0$. Hence $\ker(\varphi) = 0$. \square

Proof of theorem 8.3. First note that by theorem 8.2, the map φ is injective and we do have an exact sequence. By the splitting lemma it is enough to show there is a homomorphism $r : A \rightarrow B_n$ such that $r\varphi|_{B_n} = \text{id}_{B_n}$. As stated in the proof of theorem 8.4, we know that $B/p^n B$ is isomorphic to a large direct sum. Now B_n is part of this direct sum, so define B' as the rest of the direct sum such that $f_n : B_n \oplus B' \rightarrow B/p^n B$ is an isomorphism. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B_n & \xleftarrow{e_1} & B & \xleftarrow{\varphi} & A \\ s \uparrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \nearrow e_2 \\ \downarrow \end{array} \right. & & \downarrow \pi_B & & \downarrow \pi_A \\ B_n \oplus B' & \xrightarrow{f_n} & B/p^n B & \xrightarrow{\psi_n} & A/p^n A \end{array}$$

Take e_1 and e_2 the canonical embeddings and s the projection map. By definition of f_n we have $f_n \circ e_2 = \pi_B \circ e_1$ and by definition of ψ_n follows that the right square commutes. Note that we have $s \circ e_2 = \text{id}_{B_n}$. Taking $r = s \circ f_n^{-1} \circ \psi_n^{-1} \circ \pi_A$ satisfies $r\varphi|_{B_n} = \text{id}_{B_n}$. \square

9 Abelian automorphism groups

Let A be an abelian group. Then -1_A will be the map $-1_A : A \rightarrow A, a \mapsto -a$.

Theorem 9.1. *Let A be an abelian group such that $\text{Aut}^i A$ is abelian for $i = 0, \dots, 4$ and $\text{Aut} A$ is infinite. Then $\text{Aut} A \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus C$ where C is a $\mathbb{Z}[2^{-1}]$ -module.*

The following theorem is trivial, but is crucial in this chapter.

Definition 9.2. *Let A and B be abelian groups. We say B is a direct summand of A if there exists an abelian group C such that $A \cong B \oplus C$. I use the notation $B \mid A$.*

Theorem 9.3. *Let A and B be abelian groups with $B \mid A$ and $\text{Aut} A$ abelian. Then $\text{Aut} B$ is abelian and $\text{Aut} B \mid \text{Aut} A$. Moreover if A has an abelian automorphism tower, then B has an abelian automorphism tower as well.*

Proof. The proof is obvious from theorem 6.3, which shows that we have $\text{Aut}(B \oplus C) \cong \text{Aut}(B) \oplus \text{Aut}(C) \oplus \text{Hom}(B, C) \oplus \text{Hom}(C, B)$. \square

Lemma 9.4. *Let G be a group with exponent 2. Then there exists a set X such that $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(X)}$.*

Proof. Note $aba^{-1}b^{-1} = abab = 1$, therefore G is abelian. It is enough to show that G is a vector space over the field \mathbb{F}_2 , from which follows that G has a basis and we can choose X as the set consisting of all the basis elements. Choose the addition of G as a vector space the same as the operation from G . For $g \in G$ define $0 \cdot g = 0$ and $1 \cdot g = g$. I leave it to the reader to verify that with these operations G is indeed a vector space over \mathbb{F}_2 . \square

Lemma 9.5. *Let A be an abelian group such that $\text{Aut} A$ is abelian and $-1_A = \text{id}_A$. Then A is either trivial or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. From $-1_A = \text{id}_A$ follows that every element in A has order at most 2. From lemma 9.4 we can take X a set such that $A \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(X)}$. Suppose $|X| \geq 2$. Now $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Sym} \underline{3}$ must be abelian by theorem 9.3, which is a contradiction. Thus X cannot have more than one element. \square

For a prime p denote $\Gamma_p = \mathbb{Z}[p^{-1}]/\mathbb{Z}$. For an abelian group A and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ denote $A[n] = \{a \in A \mid na = 0\}$. For a prime p denote $A[p^\infty] = \{a \in A \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} : p^n a = 0\}$.

In the following lemma and the previous notation some arbitrary prime p is used. In this chapter I will always use $p = 2$.

Lemma 9.6. *Let A be an abelian group and p a prime such that there exists $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$ with $pa = 0$. Then $\Gamma_p \mid A$ or there exists an $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \mid A$.*

Proof. We have either $A[p^\infty] \subset pA$ or $A[p^\infty] \not\subset pA$. I will consider both cases.

Suppose $A[p^\infty] \subset pA$. Let $a_1 \in A[p] \setminus \{0\}$. Now $a_1 \in pA$, so there exists $a_2 \in A[p^2]$ such that $pa_2 = a_1$. We can iterate this to find a_i for each $i \geq 1$ such that $pa_i = a_{i-1}$. Define $f : \Gamma_p \rightarrow A$ where $f(p^{-i}) = a_i$. This map is well-defined and also injective. Consider the exact sequence, where C is the quotient:

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_p \rightarrow A \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that Γ_p is a divisible group. Divisible abelian groups are injective \mathbb{Z} -modules (see chapter 10 of [5]), meaning that they split each short exact sequence of abelian groups. Thus Γ_p is a direct summand of A .

Suppose $A[p^\infty] \not\subset pA$. Suppose for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we have $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \nmid A$. Let B be a p -basic subgroup of A . From theorem 8.3 follows $V = V_\infty$. This can only happen if each element with order p^n for some $n \geq 1$ is divisible by p , or equivalently $A[p^\infty] \subset pA$. This is a contradiction. Hence there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \mid A$. \square

Lemma 9.7. *Let A and B be non-trivial abelian groups such that $\text{Aut}(A \oplus B)$ is abelian. Then there exists a set X such that $\text{Aut}(A \oplus B) \cong \text{Aut}(A) \oplus \text{Aut}(B) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(X)}$. Moreover, if $\text{Aut}^2(A \oplus B)$ is abelian, then $|X| \leq 1$. If also $\text{Aut}^3(A \oplus B)$ is abelian, then $|X| = 0$.*

Proof. From theorem 6.3 we have $\text{Aut}(A \oplus B) \cong \text{Aut}(A) \oplus \text{Aut}(B) \oplus \text{Hom}(A, B) \oplus \text{Hom}(B, A)$. Note $-1_A \in \text{Aut } A$. So for any $f \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$, we have that from 6.3.2 follows $-f = f$. This shows $2f = 0$, so the exponent of $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ and $\text{Hom}(B, A)$ divides 2. From lemma 9.4 we can take a set X such that $\text{Hom}(A, B) \oplus \text{Hom}(B, A) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(X)}$.

Suppose also $\text{Aut}^2(A \oplus B)$ is abelian. If $|X| \geq 2$ then theorem 9.3 implies that $\text{Sym } \underline{3} \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is abelian, which is a contradiction. Therefore $|X| \leq 1$.

Suppose also $\text{Aut}^3(A \oplus B)$ is abelian. Let X be a set such that $\text{Aut}(A \oplus B) \cong \text{Aut}(A) \oplus \text{Aut}(B) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(X)}$. By the previous statement we know $|X| \leq 1$. Assume $|X| = 1$.

Assume that both A and B contain at least 3 elements. We see by lemma 9.5 that $-1 \neq \text{id}$ in both $\text{Aut } A$ and $\text{Aut } B$. Therefore $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \text{Aut } A)$ and $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \text{Aut } B)$ are both non-zero. Also these groups have exponent 2, so we have $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(2)} \mid \text{Aut}^2(A \oplus B)$. Now theorem 9.3 implies that $\text{Sym } \underline{3}$ is abelian, which is a contradiction.

Suppose without loss of generality $|A| = 2$. If $-1_B = \text{id}_B$, then $B \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by lemma 9.5. This would mean that $\text{Aut}(A \oplus B) \cong \text{Sym } \underline{3}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $-1_B \neq \text{id}_B$ and we can use lemma 9.6 for $\text{Aut } B$. Assume $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Aut } B$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. We can take a group C such that we have:

$$\text{Aut}(A \oplus B) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus B) \cong C \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Now $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is non-abelian by theorem 7.2. This is a contradiction, so $\Gamma_2 \mid \text{Aut } B$. Thus we can take a group C' such that we have:

$$\text{Aut}(A \oplus B) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus B) \cong C' \oplus \Gamma_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

I leave it to the reader to verify that the automorphism group of Γ_2 is \mathbb{Z}_2^* , which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by lemma 5.7. Note that $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma_2)$ is non-trivial and has exponent 2. Now $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(2)} \mid \text{Aut}^2(A \oplus B)$ and from theorem 9.3 follows a contradiction.

All cases lead to a contradiction, hence the assumption $|X| = 1$ is false. Thus $|X| = 0$. \square

Proof of theorem 9.1. From lemma 9.5 we see $-1_A \neq \text{id}_A$, therefore $\text{Aut } A$ has an element of order 2. This means we can use lemma 9.6 for $\text{Aut } A$. Suppose $\Gamma_2 \mid \text{Aut } A$. We have $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(2)} \mid \text{Aut}^2 \Gamma_2$ which is a contradiction by theorem 9.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Aut } A$. Note $\text{Aut } \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{n-2}\mathbb{Z}$ for $n \geq 3$. Since this is not a cyclic group, we see by theorem 7.2 that its automorphism group is not abelian. Theorem 9.3 implies a contradiction. Hence $n \leq 2$. If $n = 1$ we have $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Aut } A$, so assume $n = 2$.

Write $\text{Aut } A \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \oplus C$. Note $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Aut}^2 A$. Since $\text{Aut } A$ is infinite, we see that C must be infinite. From lemma 9.5 follows $-1_C \neq \text{id}_C$. If there is some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $\mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Aut } C$, then $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}) \mid \text{Aut}^2 A$ which is a contradiction by theorem 7.2 and 9.3. From lemma 9.6 follows $\Gamma_2 \mid \text{Aut } C$. We have $\Gamma_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Aut}^2 A$. Note $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Aut } \Gamma_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \Gamma_2)$, thus $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{(2)} \mid \text{Aut}(\Gamma_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. This is a contradiction by theorem 9.3. So $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \mid \text{Aut } A$.

Write $\text{Aut } A \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus C$. Note that any abelian group is also a \mathbb{Z} -module. We have that C is a $\mathbb{Z}[2^{-1}]$ -module iff the map $f : C \rightarrow C, x \mapsto 2x$ is an isomorphism. Now f is exactly an isomorphism iff $C = 2C$ and $C[2] = 0$. From theorem 6.3 we get:

$$\text{Aut}^2 A \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus C) \cong \text{Aut}(C) \oplus \text{Hom}(C, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, C).$$

However we can apply theorem 9.7 to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus C$ and we see that both Hom-groups must be zero. We have $\text{Hom}(C, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(C/2C, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = 0$, so $C = 2C$. We also have $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, C) = 0$, therefore $C[2] = 0$. \square

10 References

- [1] H. Wielandt, *Eine Verallgemeinerung der invarianten Untergruppen*, Mathematische Zeitschrift 45, 1939, 209-244
- [2] R. Apon, *Groups that split short exact sequences*, Bachelor thesis, 2014, available at the website of Mathematical Institute of Leiden University
- [3] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, 2nd edition, Springer, 1978
- [4] J.J. Rotman, *An Introduction to the Theory of Groups*, 4th edition, Springer, 1995
- [5] S. Lang, *Algebra*, 3rd edition, Springer, 2002