

**RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR
THE LEIDEN-SARIN INTERNATIONAL AIR LAW MOOT COURT COMPETITION
(September 2016)**

Chapter I General Provisions

Article 1 Function

- a. The present Rules govern the procedure of the Leiden-Sarin International Air Law Moot Court Competition (hereinafter "The Competition").
- b. The Competition shall include, if necessary, pursuant to the provisions of article 4, a (i) National Pre-Selection Round, to be held domestically in the jurisdiction of a participating State in accordance with the National Pre-Selection Rounds Rules of Procedure of the Leiden-Sarin International Air Law Moot Court Competition, and (ii) an International Round (Semi-Finals and Final).

Article 2 Organization

- a. The Competition is a joint initiative of the Sarin Memorial Legal Aid Foundation, Chandigarh, India, and the International Institute of Air and Space Law (IIASL), Leiden Law School, Leiden University, The Netherlands, herein after also referred to as the "Organizing Parties". Other parties may join the organization of the Competition, when the aforementioned parties have mutually agreed thereto.
- b. The organization of the Competition shall be the joint responsibility of the Sarin Memorial Legal Aid Foundation and of the International Institute of Air and Space Law (IIASL), Leiden Law School, Leiden University
- c. The organizing parties shall make an agreement with an Institution in the host country for the organization of the Competition in that country.
- d. The organizing parties shall designate an International Air Law Moot Court Bureau, also referred to as the BUREAU, which will be responsible For the overall organization of the Competition.
The BUREAU shall provide the participating Universities with all necessary information.

Article 3 Participation – Eligibility

- a. The Competition is open to students enrolled in a bachelor of laws or master of laws program at an accredited university.
- b. The language of the Competition (at all stages), including the National Pre-Selection Round (if applicable), shall be English.

- c. Each University may be represented by a maximum of one (1) team. The selection of the team members of each team shall be an internal matter for each University.
- d. A country shall be represented by no more than three (3) participating universities in the International Round, (also see also article 4 below).
- e. The total number of teams permitted to compete in the semi-finals of the Competition shall be decided in conjunction with the host organization, but shall be limited to a maximum of 24 teams.
- f. Where the number of registered teams for the semi-finals exceeds the maximum of 24, a decision on capping the number of teams participating will be made by the BUREAU based on:
 - i. the possibility of a reduction of the maximum number of teams eligible to represent a country from 3 (three) to 2 (two); - in which case a national round may be required
 - ii geographical representation.The final determination of the teams participating shall be made by the BUREAU within 5 calendar days after the registration deadline , and the BUREAU shall inform the teams of the results.
- g. Notwithstanding Article 3f above, a spot in the competition shall be reserved for a team representing the host institution, or in case the host institution is not a university capable of composing a team, then to 1 (one) team from a university from the host country.
- h. Students are not allowed to participate more than once for the same university in the International Rounds. Non-compliance with this paragraph shall result in penalization by the BUREAU in accordance with the Annex of Penalties of the present Rules.
- i. Each team shall register via the link provided on the website of the International Institute of Air and Space Law. Countries requiring a national round may ask for additional registration through the national organizer. A registration deadline shall be provided.
- j. The BUREAU shall assign each participating team a registration number.
- k. The team registration fee is €150. The fee is to be paid within 10 calendar days after the deadline for registration.

Payment details are provided on the website of the International Institute of Air and Space Law, Leiden University.

Non-payment or late payment of the fee shall result in the defaulting team being excluded from the competition. If a team is excluded from participation due to the limit of 24 teams, the fee shall be refunded. The fee is not refundable in case of cancellation by the team. For teams participating in a national round, whatever amount

already paid shall be adjusted towards the final amount of EUR 150, which shall be payable by the qualifying team within 3 days of announcement of results at the National Rounds.

- I. Each team shall bear its own travel costs to and fro the destination hosting the International Rounds.

Article 4 National Pre-selection Rounds

- a. In the event that more than three (3) teams from one (1) country register to participate in the Competition, a National Pre-Selection Round shall be held to determine which top teams will represent that country in the International Rounds of the Competition.
- b. After the deadline for registration for participation as indicated on the BUREAU's Time Schedule has expired, the BUREAU shall notify the relevant universities of the necessity to appoint a National Committee. The organization of a National Pre-Selection Round will be delegated, in each country, to a National Committee, in conformity with the Rules of Procedure for National Pre-Selection Rounds of the Competition. The BUREAU shall be informed by the relevant universities of the constitution and composition of the National Committee no later than the deadline indicated on the BUREAU's Time Schedule.
- c. The BUREAU shall supply the Committee with all the necessary information and forms.
- d. National Pre-Selection Rounds will be held in accordance with the Rules of Procedure for National Pre-Selection Rounds. The present rules apply to such Rounds when questions or issues arise which have not been explicitly provided for in the rules of procedure of those Rounds. The cost of organizing such a national round shall be the sole responsibility of the National Bureau.

Article 5 Composition and Registration of Teams

- a. A team shall be composed of students who are enrolled in an undergraduate (LL.B.) or post-graduate (LL.M.) programme of law studies at the participating universities. Proof must be submitted that the participants are so enrolled in the aforementioned program within six months prior to the first day of the semi-finals of the competition.
- b. Each team may have a Faculty Adviser. The Faculty Adviser may be a staff member or an external adviser designated by the participating institution
- c. Each team shall be composed of no less than two (2) and not more than three (3) students. Only two team members may plead per

session before the panels of judges.

- d. The names of the students comprised in a team are to be submitted to the BUREAU by the date for registration indicated on the Time Schedule. Substitution of team members is not allowed after this date, unless a team member has to withdraw due to serious and unexpected circumstances, such as a serious illness. A team contemplating withdrawal of a team-member shall communicate the circumstances of the requested withdrawal to the BUREAU. The BUREAU, at its sole discretion, shall determine whether the withdrawal of the team member is appropriate and shall decide whether to allow for substitution under the circumstances. The BUREAU may determine that such withdrawal is in violation of the rules requiring that two registered team members shall plead as stipulated in Article 12. No substitution shall be allowed within 24 hours of the date at which the National Pre- Selection Round or the International Round is scheduled to be held.
- e. Non-compliance with this Article shall result in penalties being imposed on the team by the BUREAU in accordance with the Annex of Penalties of the present Rules

Article 6

The Case

- a. The BUREAU shall invite a duly qualified person to draft the Case. The author of the Case will remain anonymous until after the end of the entire event. The author of the Case is not involved with the substantive preparation of the Competition and/or with any of the participating teams.
- b. Teams may submit written requests for clarification with a maximum of five questions. These requests must be sent to the BUREAU and must be received by the deadline indicated on the Time Schedule. Requests for clarifications may be answered solely at the discretion of the author of the Case.

Article 7

Outside Assistance

- a. Apart from the exceptions laid down in Article 7b, outside assistance is strictly prohibited.
- b. Outside assistance to a team in preparation of the Competition, including that of faculty members, shall be limited to a general discussion of the issues, suggestions as to research sources and training in oral presentation in public.
- c. Under no circumstances shall anyone who has in any way and at any stage participated in the drafting of the Case, give assistance to any of the participating teams.

- d. By signing a Declaration of Authenticity a team shall confirm the originality of the submitted Memorials as it being their own work. The Declaration must be signed by all team members and by the Faculty adviser. The Declaration of Authenticity can be found in Annex III. The Declaration of Authenticity shall not be attached to the memorials, but shall be submitted separately to the BUREAU at the time of submission of memorials.
- e. Non-compliance with this Article shall result in the levy of penalty points by the BUREAU in accordance with the Annex of Penalties of the present Rules.

Chapter II The Semi-Finals

Article 8 The Memorials

- a. Each memorial shall be written in English.
- b. Each Memorial shall contain the following sections, in the following order:
 - (a) Table of Contents;
 - (b) List of Abbreviations;
 - (c) List of Sources (treaties, jurisprudence, literature, etc.);
 - (d) Statement of Relevant Facts;
 - (e) Issues;
 - (f) Summary of Arguments;
 - (g) Jurisdiction of the Court (or other body vested with adjudicatory power);
 - (h) Arguments;
 - (i) Submissions.

The Memorial may also include Annexes however such Annexes may in no circumstance, exceed five (5) pages.

Failure by a team to adhere to the order mentioned above shall result in the levy of penalty points.
- c. The Arguments (section (h)) and the Submissions (section (i)) together, including footnotes, may not exceed twenty-five (25) pages. Any argument with respect to jurisdiction or admissibility shall be included in the aforementioned Arguments (section (h)).
- d. The Memorial shall be typed:
 - on A4 size paper (21 cm x 29 3/4 cm),
 - on one side,
 - in font Times New Roman size 12,
 - with a margin of 2.5 cm on both sides, and
 - with a margin of 2.5 cm at the top and at the bottom, and

- with sections (d) - (i) and Annexes with interline 2 (double-spaced).
- e. The twenty-five (25) pages of the sections Argument (h) and Submissions (i) together shall be numbered consecutively in Arabic numbers (1 - 25). All the other sections of the Memorial shall be numbered consecutively in small Roman numbers (i - ii - ... -iv ... xi ...).
Page numbering: Each page shall be numbered in the middle at the top.
Footnotes: shall be placed at the bottom of the page and must be numbered consecutively throughout the memorial in Arabic numbers.
 - f. Footnotes and quotations shall not be reduced in size.
Footnotes and quotations of more than one line in length may be typed single-spaced. The standard double spacing must be kept between separate footnotes.
 - g. The listing of the sources in the List of Sources (section (c)) and in the footnotes shall be complete and uniform throughout the document. Listings are complete when all cited texts are included in the List of Sources; no other texts shall be listed. Listings are uniform when the rendition of sources in footnotes is the same as the rendition of these sources in the List of Sources.
 - h. Each Memorial shall be bound by a cover: The Memorials for the Applicant by a green cover, the Memorials for the Respondent by a red cover. The Cover should correspond to the one indicated in the Annex to the present Rules.
 - i. The participating teams may not be identified by name or in any other way in the Memorial, except by their designated registration number.
 - j. The registration number of the team must appear clearly in the middle at the bottom on the cover of the Memorials, as indicated in the 'Cover' annexed to the present Rules.
 - k. Plagiarism is strictly forbidden. This includes copy-pasting texts without citing and/or not providing references to the original source(s).
 - l. The Bureau can exclude a team from participation in the semi-finals in case of manifest non-compliance with the rules of the Competition. In such a case, the BUREAU shall forthwith communicate its decision to the Faculty Adviser of the concerned team.
 - m. Non-compliance with this Article shall result in levy of penalty points in accordance with the Annex of Penalties of the present Rules.

Article 9 Submission of Memorials

- a. Each team shall prepare an Applicant's Memorial (A) and a Respondent's Memorial (B). Only the students registered with the BUREAU as team members may research and draft the team's Memorials.

No team may revise, substitute, add, delete or in any other manner alter their original Memorials after they have been submitted.

- b. The BUREAU shall receive by Registered Mail or courier one (1) hardcopy as well as an electronic .pdf copy via e-mail of each of the Applicant's Memorial as well as the Respondent's Memorials of each participating team. The deadline for mailing of the Memorials shall be indicated in the BUREAU's official Time Schedule. Nine (9) hardcopies of each of the Applicant's Memorial and the Respondent's Memorials of each participating team (eighteen (18) in total) shall be sent by the team to the host country at the venue's address (which shall be duly intimated to the teams) and must be sent strictly by the deadline as indicated by the BUREAU.
- c. A clearly legible copy of the Registered Mail / Courier receipt (along with tracking number) shall be forwarded (preferably a high resolution scanned version) forthwith under separate cover to the BUREAU.
- d. The BUREAU shall, in accordance with the rules, distribute the appropriate Memorials to the opposing teams, to the members of the Semi-Finals' Moot Courts, to the members of the Bench of the Final Round, and to the members of the International Board of Review.
- e. All Memorials in the Competition shall be the property of the BUREAU and may be copyrighted as such.
- f. Non-compliance with this Article shall result in levy of penalties in accordance with the Annex of Penalties of the present Rules.

Article 10 Judging the Memorials – The International Bureau of Review

- a. The Memorials for the International Round shall be judged by the International Board of Review (hereinafter 'the IBOR').
- b. The BUREAU, having consulted the organizing parties, shall nominate the members of the IBOR. The IBOR shall consist of eminent persons who meet the required qualifications regarding expertise and impartiality.
- c. Each Memorial shall be judged individually by three members of the International Board of Review, acting independently of one another.

Article 11 Score of Memorials

- a. The score shall be kept on official scoring sheets supplied by the BUREAU.
- b. A grade between thirty (30) and fifty (50) points per Memorial shall be awarded by each member of the Board, excellent being 45-50, good

being 40-44, average being 35-39, and poor being 30-34.

- c. The scoring factors to be considered, without regard to their order of importance, shall include, but not be limited to:
- knowledge of the facts and the legal principles directly applicable to the facts;
 - proper analysis of the issues involved;
 - use of authorities and extent of research;
 - logic and reasoning;
 - evidence of original thought;
 - clarity and organization;
 - persuasiveness;
 - thoroughness;
 - grammar and style.
- d. The final score for a Memorial shall be the sum of the points awarded by the members of the International Board of Review minus any penalty points. The maximum number of points that a team can score for the Memorials is: hundred-and-fifty (150) points for the Memorial on behalf of the Applicant and hundred-and-fifty (150) points for the Memorial on behalf of the Respondent.
- e. At the end of the Competition, the team having prepared the Best Applicant's Memorial and the team having prepared the Best Respondent's Memorial will be announced. The Best Memorials are those which received the highest final scores.

Article 12

The Oral Arguments

a.

Time, Place and Order of Presentation

- (i) The BUREAU shall determine the place of the presentation of the oral arguments of the Semi-Finals and of the Final Round. The BUREAU shall determine the pleading schedule, i.e. the time and order of the presentation of the oral arguments, of the Semi-Finals by secret ballot, while the pleading order of the Final Round shall be determined in accordance with Article 15 of the present Rules.
- (ii) The pleadings shall be held before a Moot Court constituted in accordance with Article 13.

b.

Form and Content of the Oral Argument

- (i) Each team shall prepare an oral argument for the Applicant and an oral argument for the Respondent. The oral arguments shall be presented in English. Each team shall present an oral argument in the sessions of the Semi-Finals, twice as Applicant and twice as Respondent.
- (ii) Per each of the four sessions of the semi-finals, only two team

members shall present the team's oral arguments.

- (iii) Only students registered with the BUREAU as team members shall act as oralists.
- (iv) During the Semi-Finals' oral arguments, all three team members shall be present in the sessions in which their team is pleading. Teams shall be seated behind their table at the time indicated for the start of the session. None of the team members, coaches of the team, or persons officially affiliated with the team shall be allowed to attend any other sessions.
- (v) The scope of an oralist's pleadings is not limited to the scope of his/her submitted Memorial.
- (vi) Each team shall have a right of reply, referred to as the 'Rebuttal' for the Applicant and 'Surrebuttal' for the Respondent.
- (vii) The scope of the Applicant's rebuttal shall be limited to the scope of the Respondent's arguments proper and the scope of the Respondent's surrebuttal shall be limited to the scope of the Applicant's rebuttal. Failure to keep within these limitations shall be taken into account by the Bench.
- (viii) Per session each team (Applicant and Respondent) shall be allowed a total of forty (40) minutes to present its oral argument, including the time required to answer any questions which may be put to it by the Bench and including the time for the rebuttal or surrebuttal. No more than ten (10) minutes of this time shall be reserved for rebuttal or surrebuttal.
- (ix) Per session no team member shall plead for more than twenty-five (25) minutes.
- (x) Extension of the maximum pleading time beyond forty (40) minutes, may be granted at the sole discretion of the Bench, however, this extension, in no circumstance, may exceed five (5) minutes.
- (xi) No oral or written communication may take place between the team table or the oralist and their accompanying staff members or any member of the public during the session in which that team presents its oral arguments, rebuttal or surrebuttal.
- (xii) No oral or written communication may take place between the team table and the oralist while the oralist is presenting his/her argument. This does not preclude an oralist from taking a treaty or similar document from the team table when the oralist has been questioned about such a document.
- (xiii) The use of exhibits is not permitted without prior authorization of the BUREAU.
- (xiv) During the semi-finals, team members are not permitted to wear or carry garments or items which could indicate the identity of their university

or country of origin.

- (xv) The BUREAU shall strive to ensure that during the Semi-Finals no team shall plead against any other team more than once.
- (xvi) Non-compliance of the teams with their responsibilities under this Rule shall result in the levy of penalty points by the BUREAU in accordance with the Annex of Penalties of the present Rules. Non-compliance with Article 12 (b) (vii) specifically will be taken into account by the Bench at the time of scoring.

Article 13 Semi-finals: Judging the Oral Arguments

a. The Moot Court

- (i) The oral arguments shall be judged by a Bench consisting of three judges.
- (ii) The BUREAU shall invite duly qualified persons to act as members of the Bench for the Semi-Finals.
- (iii) Each oral argument shall be judged individually by the three judges, acting independently of one another. During the Semi-Finals, no member of the Bench shall judge a team of his/her own nationality.
- (iv) The president of the court has the final say in the amount of extra time given, in accordance with Article 12(b)(x).
- (v) Each of the judges may interrupt the oralist to ask a question. However, the President may overrule that there is no time left for such question.

b. Scoring by the Members of the Bench

- (i) The score shall be kept on official scoring sheets, supplied by the BUREAU.
- (ii) A grade between thirty (30) and fifty (50) points per oral argument shall be awarded by each judge, excellent being 45-50, good being 40-44, average being 35-39, and poor being 30-34. The score per session for the oral argument of a team shall be the total of the points awarded.
- (iii) The Bench shall especially, but not exclusively, take into consideration competence, inclusion of all relevant factors, structure and logic of the argument, knowledge of points of international law directly applicable to the facts, soundness of the argument presented, evidence of original thought, and response to questions.
- (iv) The final score for an oral argument shall be the sum of the points awarded by the judges for the two sessions of the Semi-Finals minus the sum of the penalty points. The maximum number of points that a team can score for the oral arguments in the Semi-Finals, both as Applicant and as Respondent is three hundred (300).

c. The Best Oral Arguments

- (i) At the end of the Competition, the team presenting the Best Oral Arguments for the Applicant and the team presenting the Best Oral Arguments for the Respondent shall be announced.
- (ii) The Best Oral Arguments are those which received the highest final scores.

Article 14 Best Oralist Award

- a. During the semi-finals, the judges may nominate who they deem to be the Best Oralist. In nominating the Best Oralist, the judges will take into consideration the clarity and style of the presentation, fluency, debating skill and powers of persuasion. The legal contents of the argumentation shall not be taken into consideration. Each judge may nominate one Best Oralist.
- b. The Oralist who is nominated most will be awarded the Best Oralist Award.

Chapter III The Final Rounds

Article 15 Ranking for the Final Round

- a. The Final Round shall be held between the best Applicant-team (A) and the best Respondent-team (B). The best Applicant-team (A) and the best Respondent-team (B) are the teams with the highest total score on the Applicant-side and on the Respondent-side respectively, the highest total score being the sum of the final score for the Memorial and the final score for the oral arguments on the Applicant-side and on the Respondent-side respectively.
- b. If there are two or more teams on the Applicant's or Respondent's side with the same highest total score as defined above, the team with the highest final score for the Semi-Finals' oral arguments shall be ranked highest. In case the final scores for the Semi-Finals' oral arguments are also identical, the finalist will be determined by ballot.
- c. In case the highest total score on the Applicant's side and the highest total score team on the Respondent's side belong to the same national team, the side with the highest score of the two (Applicant or Respondent) shall represent the team in the Final Round. When the total scores for both sides are identical, the side with the highest final score for the Semi-Finals' oral argument will go to the Final Round. In case these scores also are identical, the finalist side will be determined by ballot.
The opponent shall be the team representing the Applicant or Respondent, as required, with the second highest total score as defined above. In case of a shared second place, the above procedure will be

followed to determine the opponent finalists.

- d. This Article applies regardless of whether or not the highest-ranking Applicant and Respondent teams have met each other during the Semi-Finals.

Article 16 Final Round: Judging of the Memorials and of the Oral Arguments

- a. The Memorials and the oral arguments shall be judged by a Bench consisting of three Judges.
- b. The BUREAU shall invite highly qualified persons to act as members of the Bench for the Final Round.
- c. Each Memorial and Oral argument shall be judged individually by the three Judges.
- d. The earlier scores for the Memorials and for the Semi-Finals' oral arguments shall not be communicated to or taken into account by the Final Round Moot Court.
- e. There will be no specific scores given by the Final Round Moot Court for the Memorials and the oral presentations.

Article 17 The Winner

- a. The winner of the Final Round will be the team so decided by the members of the Final Round Moot Court.
- b. The winner of the Final Round shall be considered to be the Winner of the Competition. This team shall receive the Competition's Trophy to hold in trust until the following year's Competition.
- c. An overall ranking of the teams shall be provided based on the overall team scores. The overall team score is the sum of the final scores for the Memorials and the final scores for the oral arguments of both the Applicant and the Respondent side of one and the same national team. At the end of the Competition, the team with the highest overall team score will be announced.

Chapter IV Penalties

Article 18 Penalties

- a. During the Competition, penalties may lead to the disqualification of a team, or to penalty points being deducted from the sum of the points awarded by the International Board of Review for a team's Memorials or from the sum of the points awarded by the Judges for a team's oral arguments presented during the Semi-Finals.
- b. Penalties shall be exacted in accordance with the Annex of Penalties attached to the present Rules.

Chapter V

Final Provisions

Article 19

Powers of the International Air Law Moot Court Bureau

- a. The BUREAU, in interpreting the present Rules and the Rules of Procedure for the National Pre-Selection Rounds of the Competition, may take such other discretionary measures as it may deem advisable for the sake of fairness and the orderly conduct of the Competition.
- b. The BUREAU may depart from the present Rules should circumstances so require. In such an event, it shall inform all concerned participants as soon as possible, providing a statement of reasons for the departure.
- c. Any decision or ruling handed down by the BUREAU on matters concerning the present Rules of Procedure, the Rules of Procedure for the National Pre-Selection Rounds, or any other matters regarding the state of affairs of the Competition is final. No appeal from these decisions or rulings is admissible.

ANNEX I

COVER INDICATION [Color]

[Green for the Applicant]

[Red for the Respondent]

INTERNATIONAL AIR LAW MOOT COURT COMPETITION [year]

CASE OF [NAME] STATE A v. STATE B

MEMORIAL SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF STATE [A or B]

TEAM NUMBER [Number-A] or [Number-B]

ANNEX II

Penalties

Article 1 Aims

- a. The present Annex on Penalties forms an integral part of the Official Rules of the International Air Law Moot Court Competition (the "Official Rules").
- b. The aim of this Annex on Penalties is to ensure a fair and sportive contest in the International Air Law Moot Court Competition by providing means for ensuring compliance with the relevant provisions of the Official Rules.

Article 2 Penalties for Membership – Registration - Participation

Violation of the following provisions shall entail the corresponding penalties;

Art. 5: disqualification of the team

Art. 7: disqualification of the team

Article 3 Penalties for Memorials

Violation of the following provisions shall entail the corresponding penalties;

Art. 8 (a): disqualification of the team;

Art. 8 (b): 2 points per missing section or per violation;

Art. 8 (c): 5 points per page over 25 pages;

Art. 8 (d): 1 point per violation per single requirement mentioned, with a maximum of 4 points for 4 or more violations per single requirement mentioned;

Art. 8 (e): 1 point per violation per single requirement mentioned, with a maximum of 2 points for 2 or more violations per single requirement mentioned;

Art. 8 (f): 1 point per violation per single requirement mentioned, with a maximum of 4 points for 4 or more violations per single requirement mentioned;

Art. 8 (g): 2 points for 1-5 violations per single requirement mentioned; 4 points for 6-10 violations per single requirement mentioned; 6 points for more than 10 violations per single requirement mentioned;

Art. 8 (h): 1 point per violation;

Art. 8 (i): 6 points per violation;

Art. 8 (j): 2 points per violation;

Art. 8(k): disqualification of the team;

Art. 9 (a): disqualification of the team;

Art. 9(b): 2 points per copy not received; 6 points per day for delay in mailing of the Memorials with a maximum of 48 points and disqualification of the team in case of a delay of 8 days or more.

Article 4 Penalties for Oral Arguments

Art. 12 (b)(i): disqualification of the team;

Art. 12 (b)(ii): 10 points per violation per single requirement mentioned;

Art. 12 (b) (iii): disqualification of the team;

Art. 12 (b) (iv): disqualification of the team; late arrival 5 points per minute;

Art. 12 (b) (viii): 5 points per extra minute;

Art. 12 (b) (ix): 5 points per minute more, unless Art. 12(b)(x) applies;

Art. 12 (b) (xi): disqualification of the team;

Art. 12 (b) (xii): disqualification of the team;

Art. 12 (b) (xiii): 10 points per violation;

Art. 12 (b) (xiv): disqualification of the team.

Article 5 Cheating, Intimidation, and other Unfair Behavior

- a. Pursuant to Article 19 of the present Rules of Procedure, the BUREAU shall have the discretion, after giving the team in question the opportunity to be heard, to award penalty points or to disqualify a team for cheating, intimidation and other unfair behavior that has the effect or the intended effect of unfairly improving its performance or reducing the other team's performance during a match. The decision of the BUREAU shall be final.
- b. Pursuant to Article 19 of the present Rules of Procedure, the BUREAU shall have the discretion, after giving the team in question the opportunity to be heard, to award penalty points or to disqualify a team for conducting itself in a manner that has the purpose, effect or intended effect of bringing the Competition into disrepute.
- c. The BUREAU shall be competent to impose any penalties under this Annex in all Rounds of the Competition and both in the Semi-Finals and Finals, with due regard to the aim stated in Article 1.

ANNEX III



Declaration of Authenticity

We further hereby certify that this is an original work, that these submitted Memorials do not contain any materials from other sources unless, these sources have been clearly identified in footnotes, and any and all quotations have been properly marked as such and full attribution made to the author('s) thereof.

Memorials team number:

Name

Signature Student

Date and Place

Name

Signature Student

Date and Place

Name

Signature Student

Date and Place