

SURVEY OF LITERATURE

A. List of subjects

I. Integration

1. General aspects

II. European Communities and European Union

1. General
2. Accession; withdrawal
3. Agriculture; fisheries
4. Citizenship
5. Commercial policy
6. Common Foreign and Security Policy
7. Company law
8. Competition law and industrial policy
9. Consumer policy
10. Cooperation on justice and home affairs; cooperation on criminal matters
11. Court of Justice; judicial protection
12. Economic and monetary policy
13. Energy policy
14. Environmental policy
15. External relations; association and development
16. Finances: EU budget
17. Free movement of goods and customs union
18. Free movement of persons; migration and asylum; Area of Freedom, Security and Justice
19. Free movement of capital, freedom of establishment and freedom to provide services
20. Fundamental rights
21. Harmonization
22. Industrial policy and technology
23. Institutions
24. Intellectual property
25. Internal market
26. Jurisdiction and recognition of judgments; conflict of laws
27. Private law
28. Regional policy
29. Relationship between national and Union law
30. Social policy
31. State aid
32. Taxation
33. Transport and infrastructure

III. *Council of Europe*

1. General
2. Human rights

B. List of abbreviations

AA	Ars Aequi
AJCL	American Journal of Comparative Law
AJIL	American Journal of International Law
AÖR	Archiv des Öffentlichen Rechts
Arch. VR	Archiv des Völkerrechts
BB	Der Betriebs-Berater
Cal. W. Int'l L.J.	California Western International Law Journal
CDE	Cahiers de Droit Européen
CJEL	Columbia Journal of European Law
CJTL	Columbia Journal of Transnational Law
CLJ	Cambridge Law Journal
CML Rev.	Common Market Law Review
Cornell Int'l L.J.	Cornell International Law Journal
CRNI	Competition and Regulation in Network Industries
CYELS	Cambridge Yearbook of European Legal Studies
Dir. Un. Eur.	Il Diritto dell'Unione Europea
DÖV	Die öffentliche Verwaltung
DVBL	Deutsches Verwaltungsblatt
EBLR	European Business Law Review
EBOR	European Business Organization Law Review
ECL	European Company Law
ECLR	European Competition Law Review
EuConst	European Constitutional Law Review
EC Tax Rev.	EC Tax Review
EEELR	European Energy and Environmental Law Review
EFA Rev.	European Foreign Affairs Review
EHRLR	European Human Rights Law Review
EJIL	European Journal of International Law
EJLS	European Journal of Legal Studies
EJRR	European Journal of Risk Regulation
EJSS	European Journal of Social Security

ELJ	European Law Journal
ELLJ	European Labour Law Journal
EL Rev.	European Law Review
EP	European Papers
EPL	European Public Law
E.R.P.L.	European Review of Private Law
Eur. Tax.	European Taxation
ESB	Economische en Statistische Berichten
EStAL	European State Aid Law Quarterly
EuGRZ	Europäische Grundrechte Zeitschrift
EuR	Europarecht
EuZW	Europäische Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftsrecht
GJIL	Georgetown Journal of International Law
GLJ	German Law Journal
GRUR Int.	Gewerblicher Rechtsschutz und Urheberrecht Internationaler Teil
HJRL	Hague Journal on the Rule of Law
Harv. Int'l L.J.	Harvard International Law Journal
HRLJ	Human Rights Law Journal
H.R.L. Rev.	Human Rights Law Review
Hum.Rts.Q.	Human Rights Quarterly
ICLQ	International and Comparative Law Quarterly
ICON	International Journal of Constitutional Law
IJEL	Irish Journal of European Law
IJMCL	International Journal of Marine & Coastal Law
IO	International Organization
IOLR	International Organizations Law Review
ISSR	International Social Security Review
IWB	Internationale Wirtschafts-Briefe
JCMS	Journal of Common Market Studies
Journ. dr. inter.	Journal du Droit International
JECLAP	Journal of European Competition Law & Practice
J. Eur. Int.	Journal of European Integration
JIEL	Journal of International Economic Law
JWT	Journal of World Trade
JZ	Juristenzeitung
LIEI	Legal Issues of Economic Integration
LJIL	Leiden Journal of International Law
MJ	Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law
NILR	Netherlands International Law Review
NJB	Nederlands Juristenblad

NJCM	Nederlands Juristen Comité voor de Mensenrechten – Bulletin
NJECL	New Journal of European Criminal Law
Nordic JIL	Nordic Journal of International Law
NQHR	Netherlands Quarterly of Human Rights
NTER	Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Europees Recht
NYUJILP	New York University Journal of International Law & Politics
OJLS	Oxford Journal of Legal Studies
Parliam. Aff.	Parliamentary Affairs
R.A.E- L.E.A.	Revue des affaires Européenes – Law and European Affairs
RCADI	Recueil des Cours de l'Académie de Droit International de la Haye
RDП	Revue du Droit Public et de la Science Politique en France et à l'Étranger
REALaw	Review of European Administrative Law
RECIEL	Review of European, Comparative and International Environmental Law
Rev. belge dr.int.	Revue belge de Droit International
RIDC	Revue internationale de droit comparé
Rev. dr. int. dr. comp.	Revue de Droit International et de Droit Comparé
Rev. Der. Com. Eur.	Revista de Derecho Comunitario Europeo
Rev. de l'UE	Revue de l'Union Européenne
R.G.D.I.P.	Revue General de Droit International Public
RIW	Recht der Internationalen Wirtschaft
RM Themis	Rechtsgeleerd Magazijn Themis
RTDE	Revue Trimestrielle de Droit Européen
SEW	Sociaal-Economische Wetgeving: economisch recht Tijdschrift voor Europees en
SZIER	Schweizerische Zeitschrift für internationales und europäisches Recht/ Revue suisse de droit international et européen
TEL	Transnational Environmental Law
TvCR	Tijdschrift voor Constitutioneel Recht
World Comp.	World Competition
WTR	World Trade Review
YEL	Yearbook of European Law
ZaöRV	Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht
ZHR	Zeitschrift für das gesamte Handelsrecht
ZLW	Zeitschrift für Luft- und Weltraumrecht

BEU

Koen Lenaerts, Nuno Piçarra, Carla Farinhas, Alessandro Marciano and Frédérique Rolin (Eds.), *Building the European Union: The Jurist's View of the Union's Evolution. Liber Amicorum in Honour of José Luís da Cruz Vilaça*. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2021.

I. INTEGRATION

1. *General aspects*

- M. Gillis, “Can we talk? The application of the public law democratic dialogue model to the interactions between domestic legislatures and the European Courts”, 23 GLJ, 56–78.
- J. Komárek, “Freedom and power of European constitutional scholarship”, 17 EuConst, 422–441.
- K.M. Renberg and M.C. Tolley, “Mapping Europe’s cosmopolitan legal order: A network analysis of the European Court of Human Rights, the Court of Justice of the European Union, and high national courts”, 13 EJLS, 45–86.
- R. Schütze, “Democracy in Europe: Some preliminary thoughts”, 47 EL Rev., 24–51.

II. EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES AND EUROPEAN UNION

1. *General*

- C. Amalfitano and F. Croci, “Identificazione e ruolo delle clausole generali nell’ordinamento dell’Unione europea”, (2021) Dir. Un. Eur., 9–42.
- A. Baraggia and M. Bonelli, “Linking money to values: The new Rule of Law Conditionality Regulation and its constitutional challenges”, 23 GLJ, 131–156.
- T.L. Boekstijn, “Making do with what we have: On the interpretation and enforcement of the EU’s founding values”, 23 GLJ, 431–451.
- B. Boschetti and M.D. Poli, “A comparative study on soft law: Lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic”, 23 CYELS, 20–53.
- C. Calliess, “The future of Europe after Brexit: Towards a reform of the European Union and its Euro Area”, 40 YEL, 3–55.
- H. Canihac, “The making of an imagined ‘community of law’: Law, market and democracy in the early constitutional imaginaries of European integration”, 18 EuConst, 2–29.
- R. da Silva Passos, “The scope of the principle of the autonomy of the European Union legal order: Recent developments”, BEU, 19–30.
- G. De Gregorio and P. Dunn, “The European risk-based approaches: Connecting constitutional dots in the digital age”, 59 CML Rev., 473–500.
- J.W. de Zwaan, “De waarden van de Europese Unie, en de handhaving daarvan”, 70 SEW, 3–19.
- J. Englisch, “‘Detailing’ EU legislation through implementing acts”, 40 YEL, 111–145.
- J. Grogan, “The limited role of the European Union in the management and governance of the COVID-19 pandemic”, 18 IOLR, 482–506.

- T. Knäbe, “Gekommen um zu bleiben? 20 Jahre Informationsfreiheit auf EU-Ebene durch VO (EG) Nr. 1049/2001 im Lichte der EuG(H)-Rechtsprechung. Teil 1: Die Grundprinzipien”, 33 *EuZW*, 349–357; “Teil 2: Ausnahmen und Ausblick”, 33 *EuZW*, 408–419.
- D. Kochenov and J. Morijn, “Strengthening the Charter’s role in the fight for the rule of law in the EU: The cases of judicial independence and party financing”, 27 *EPL*, 759–780.
- C. König, “Zum Verfassungsrang der Grundfreiheiten und des europäischen Wettbewerbsrechts”, 57 *EuR*, 48–73.
- F. Křepelka, “Transformations of directives into regulations: Towards a more uniform administrative law?”, 27 *EPL*, 781–806.
- J. Krommendijk and N. Zamani, “Naked of golden gun? Het Unierechtelijke handhavingsarsenaal om rechtsstatelijke achteruitgang aan te pakken”, 71 *AA*, 471–479.
- P. Leino-Sandberg and M. Ruffert, “Next Generation EU and its constitutional ramifications: A critical assessment”, 59 *CML Rev.*, 433–472.
- B. Monciunskaitė, “To live and to learn: The EU Commission’s failure to recognise rule of law deficiencies in Lithuania”, 14 *HJRL*, 49–72.
- L. Nieuwenburg and B. Jansen, “Op naar de Verenigde Staten van Europa! Een sterke, democratische EU aan de hand van de Federalist Papers”, 97 *NJB*, 815–823.
- J. Polak and E. Versluis, “Varying perceptions of the legal effect of EU post-legislative guidance”, 47 *EL Rev.*, 53–68.
- V. Skouris, “The influence of common lawyers on European Union law”, *BEU*, 31–40.
- E. Spaventa, “Constitutional creativity or constitutional deception? Acts of the Member States acting collectively and jurisdiction of the Court of Justice”, 58 *CML Rev.*, 1697–1730.
- L.D. Spieker, “Werte, Vorrang, Identität: Der Dreiklang europäischer Justizkonflikte vor dem EuGH”, 33 *EuZW*, 305–313.
- T.P.J.N. van Rijn, “Het nieuwe rechtsstaatmechanisme: Een panacee voor alle schendingen van EU-recht?”, (2021) *NTER*, 239–246.
- W. Weiss, “Pandemic and administrative EU soft law: Persistent challenges to the rule of law in the EU and possible solutions”, 15/1 *REALaw*, 7–28.
- F. Wolkenstein, “European political parties’ complicity in democratic backsliding”, 11 *GC*, 55–82.

2. *Accession; withdrawal*

- G. Deepak, “Brexit – The legal intricacies in rolling over EU PTAs”, 52 *GJIL*, 679–734.
- T. Dillon, “TV quotas under the AVMS Directive after Brexit”, 56 *JWT*, 307–350.
- B.H. Druzin, “Tipping points and the formation of the European Union: Birth, Brexit, and beyond”, 27 *CJEL*, 68–96.
- C. Eckes and P. Leino-Sandberg, “The EU-UK Trade and Cooperation Agreement – Exceptional circumstances or a new paradigm for EU external relations?”, 85 *MLR*, 164–197.
- I.S. Forrester, “Brexit after the event: Problems predicted, problems unresolved?”, *BEU*, 41–52.
- O. Garner, “Seven reforms to Article 50 TEU”, 46 *EL Rev.*, 785–800.
- A. Hameed, “UK withdrawal from the EU: Supremacy, indirect effect and retained EU law”, 85 *MLR*, 726–754.
- E. Hancox, “Interpreting the post-Brexit legal framework”, 80 *CLJ*, 428–433.
- C. HJI Panayi, “Brexit and corporate taxation: New perspectives”, 31 *EC Tax Rev.*, 50–61.
- Y. Kaspiarovich and N. Levrat, “European Union mixed agreements in international law under the stress of Brexit”, 13 *EJLS*, 121–150.
- J.E. Larik and R.A. Wessel, “De Handels- en Samenwerkingsovereenkomst tussen de EU en het VK: Partnerschap of conflictbeheersing?”, (2022) *NTER*, 47–58.

- C. Macmaoláin, “Early lessons from the EU/UK Brexit negotiations: Implications for Ireland”, 27 EPL, 629–652.
- B. Martill, “Deal or no deal: Theresa May’s Withdrawal Agreement and the politics of (non-) ratification”, 59 JCMS, 1607–1622.
- B. Martill, “Unity over diversity? The politics of differentiated integration after Brexit”, 43 J. Eur. Int., 973–988.
- D. Weber and J. Steenbergen, “The potential relevance of the CJEU case law on group taxation under the EU/UK Trade and Cooperation Agreement”, 31 EC Tax Rev., 97–106.

3. *Agriculture; fisheries*

- M. Eliantonio and F. Cacciatore, “When the EU takes the field: Innovative forms of regulatory enforcement in the fisheries sector”, 44 J. Eur. Int., 551–568.

4. *Citizenship*

- J. Berger, “Staatsbürgerschaft als Ware – von Goldenen Pässen und der Europäischen Union”, 81 ZaöRV, 1033–1058.
- D. Ferri and G. Martinico, “Revisiting the *Ruiz Zambrano* doctrine and exploring the potential for its extensive application”, 27 EPL, 685–706.
- S. Ganty, “Integration duties in the European Union: Four models”, 28 MJ, 784–804.
- K. Lenaerts, “The external dimension of Union citizenship in extradition”, BEU, 73–86.
- M. van den Brink, “Revising citizenship within the European Union: Is a genuine link requirement the way forward?”, 23 GLJ, 79–96.

5. *Commercial policy*

- O. Blažo and A. Máčaj, “Legal challenges for the European Union concerning an international treaty on business and human rights”, 25 Rev. Der. Com. Eur., 933–978.
- M. Burri, “Approaches to digital trade and data flow regulation across jurisdictions: Implications for the future AESAN-EU agreement”, 49 LIEI, 149–168.
- J. Chaisse and X. Li, “Stress test for EU’s Investment Court System: How will investments be protected in the Comprehensive Agreement on Investment?”, 49 LIEI, 101–124.
- P. Delimatsis, “A partnership of equals? ‘Deeper’ economic integration between the EU and Northern Africa”, 26 EFA Rev., 507–530.
- B.P. Ebert and F. Weyland, “Weitere Rechtsschutzdefizite in der EU? Laut EuGH-Rechtsprechung kein völkerrechtlicher Rechtsschutz für Investoren”, (2022) RIW, 20–24.
- C. Farinhas, “The interplay between EU law and WTO law: When the legality of EU acts is at stake”, BEU, 53–69.
- S. Gáspár-Szilágyi, “The ‘palm oil wars’ or how the EU’s ‘inflated’ Common Commercial Policy might need to prioritize its non-trade values”, 27 EFA Rev., 29–56.
- J.S. Han, “The EU-Korea labour dispute: A critical analysis of the EU’s approach”, 26 EFA Rev., 531–552.
- B. Hoekman and H. Rojas-Romagosa, “EU trade sustainability impact assessments: Revisiting the consultation process”, 52 JIEL, 45–60.
- P.L. Hsieh, “The roadmap to the ASEAN-EU FTA in the post-pandemic era”, 49 LIEI, 125–148.
- P.L. Hsieh, “Shaping new interregionalism: The EU-Singapore Free Trade Agreement and beyond”, 35 LJIL, 129–154.

- C.L. Jurado Romero de la Cruz, “El papel de la UE como garante del estado de derecho en relación con el sistema de solución de diferencias de la OMC”, 25 Rev. Der. Com. Eur., 869–896.
- A. Looijenstijn-Clearie, “Koorddansen tussen bescherming van vitale belangen en protectionisme: screening van buitenlandse directe investeringen in de EU”, 70 SEW, 71–84.
- B. Natens and S. De Knop, “Het ontlukend Europees recht over buitenlandse directe investeringen”, 70 SEW, 62–70.
- T. Novitz, “Sustainable labour conditionality in EU free trade agreements? Implications of the EU-Korea Expert Panel Report”, 47 EL Rev., 3–23.
- L. Pantaleo and F. Seatzu, “One step forward, two steps back? The EU-MERCOSUR dispute settlement mechanism in the light of the EU’s experience with free trade agreements (FTAs)”, (2021) Dir. Un. Eur., 125–162.
- L. Pantaleo and F. Seatzu, “The EU-Mercosur trade agreement: The beginning of a new era for the EU-MERCOSUR relations?”, (2021) Dir. Un. Eur., 315–350.
- L. Pantaleo and F. Seatzu, “Protecting peasant peoples and other rural workers’ rights through the EU-MERCOSUR trade agreement”, (2021) Dir. Un. Eur., 499–518.
- K. Särkännä, “Agreement for the termination of the intra-EU BITs: Breaking the stalemate, but not quite there yet?”, 91 Nordic JIL, 253–283.

6. *Common Foreign and Security Policy*

- J. Ariel and Y.Z. Haftel, “Mostly in its backyard: Security provisions in EU economic agreements”, 59 JCMS, 1419–1437.
- M.C. Barceló, “La acción exterior de la Unión Europea en la protección del patrimonio cultural: Especial referencia a la política común de seguridad y defensa”, 26 Rev. Der. Com. Eur., 63–104.
- J. Brauneck, “Wunderwaffe Sondervermögen: Europäische Friedensfazilität für Waffenlieferungen an die Ukraine”, 33 EuZW, 402–408.
- N. Helwig, “The ambiguity of the EU’s global role: A social explanation of the term ‘strategic autonomy’”, 27-SI EFA Rev., 21–38.
- N. Helwig and V. Sinkkonen, “Strategic autonomy and the EU as a global actor: The evolution, debate and theory of a contested term”, 27-SI EFA Rev., 1–20.
- K. Mustasilta, “Preventing what for whom?: EU conflict prevention efforts in pursuit of autonomy”, 27-SI EFA Rev., 39–60.
- P. Van Elswege, “Judicial review and the Common Foreign and Security Policy: Limits to the gap-filling role of the Court of Justice”, 58 CML Rev., 1731–1760.
- B. Vroeghe, “Exporting arms over values: The humanitarian cost of the European Defence Fund”, 6 EP, 1575–1601.
- B. Vroeghe, “De EU als wapenleverancier. De Europese Vredesfaciliteit in context”, 97 NJB, 808–814.
- D. Wiedmann and A. Will, “Die Russland-Sanktionen der EU”, (2022) RIW, 173–180; “Teil 2”, (2022) RIW, 274–277; “Teil 3”, (2022) RIW, 345–349.

7. *Company law*

- J. Drechsler, “Zur richtlinienkonformen Rechtsfortbildung anhand der Insolvenzversicherung im Pauschalreisevertragsrecht”, 33 EuZW, 261–266.
- A. Garcia Rolo, “Steering companies towards policy objectives through mandatory disclosure rules in EU law”, 22 EBOR, 33–39.

- E. Hickman and M. Petrin, “Trustworthy AI and corporate governance: The EU’s ethics guidelines for trustworthy artificial intelligence from a company law perspective”, 22 EBOR, 593–625.
- J.S. Liptrap, “A social enterprise company in EU organisational law?”, 23 CYELS, 193–227.
- C. Petrucci, “The Commission’s evaluation of the financial executives’ remuneration policy and the amendments of Directive No 2019/878”, 32 EBLR, 1039–1066.
- G. Psaroudakis, “Corporate restructuring versus financial resolution: Benchmarks for the lawful treatment of creditors and shareholders”, 32 EBLR, 991–1020.
- G. Sabatino, “The ‘golden power’ on foreign investments in EU law in the light of COVID crisis”, 22 EBOR, 189–195.
- J. Saloranta, “The EU Whistleblowing Directive: An opportunity for (operationalizing) corporate human rights grievance mechanisms?”, 22 EBOR, 753–780.

8. *Competition law and industrial policy*

- C. Ahlborn, G. Van Gerven and W. Leslie, “*Bronner* revisited: *Google Shopping* and the resurrection of discrimination under Article 102 TFEU”, 13 JECLAP, 87–98.
- P. Akman, “Regulating competition in digital platform markets: A critical assessment of the framework and approach of the Digital Markets Act”, 47 EL Rev., 85–114.
- O. Andriychuk, “The Digital Markets Act proposal: Key elements & smart features”, 43 ECLR, 112–124.
- C. Bergqvist, “*Google Shopping* and self-favouring as a separate abuse”, 43 ECLR, 191–195.
- M. Blaschke-Broad, “International abuses, EU solutions: Using EU structures to address the challenges of international antitrust”, 49 LIEI, 71–100.
- F. Bostoen, “The General Court’s *Google Shopping* judgment: Finetuning the legal qualifications and tests for platform abuse”, 13 JECLAP, 75–86.
- C. Botelho Moniz, “The ECJ *Intel* judgment and the assessment of fidelity rebates”, BEU, 155–168.
- Y. Bouzora, “Between substance and autonomy: Finding legal certainty in *Google Shopping*”, 13 JECLAP, 144–153.
- É. Bruc, “Establishing jurisdiction for damages claims: The bone, the spacecraft and the apes”, 12 JECLAP, 721–733.
- F. Costa-Cabral, “The limits of collusion under Article 101(1) TFEU”, BEU, 119–138.
- R. Di Giovanni Bezzi, “Anticompetitive effects and allocation of the burden of proof in Article 102 cases: Lessons from the *Google Shopping* case”, 13 JECLAP, 112–124.
- J.U. Franck and M. Peitz, “Market definition in the platform economy”, 23 CYELS, 91–127.
- G. Gaudin and D. Mantzari, “*Google Shopping* and the as-efficient-competitor test: Taking stock and looking ahead”, 13 JECLAP, 125–135.
- I. Graef and B. van der Sloot, “Collective data harms at the crossroads of data protection and competition law: Moving beyond individual empowerment”, 33 EBLR, 513–536.
- C. Davies and N. Dunbar, “UEFA’s financial fair play regulations: Legality and viability post-COVID-19”, 43 ECLR, 103–111.
- J.P. Gruber, “Parallel application of European and national competition law”, 43 ECLR, 40–44.
- P. Hauser and J. Otto, “Legal nature of cartel damages claims in the EU”, 43 ECLR, 2–12.
- L. Hornkohl, “Article 102 TFEU, equal treatment and discrimination after *Google Shopping*”, 13 JECLAP, 99–111.
- A.C. Hoynig, I. vandenborre and C. Janssens, “Pricing algorithms: thoughts on a framework for competition law analysis”, 43 ECLR, 28–39.
- J. Kupcik, “Firm’s own price elasticity of demand in dominant position analysis”, 13 JECLAP, 187–199.

- J. Lindeboom, “Rules, discretion, and reasoning according to law: A dynamic-positivist perspective on *Google Shopping*”, 13 JECLAP, 63–74.
- L. Macharis, “The exemption of sustainability agreements under European competition law – balancing societal benefits with consumer harm: A new way forward”, 43 ECLR, 170–185.
- S. Makris, “EU competition law as responsive law”, 23 CYELS, 228–268.
- L. Marruzzo, “UEFA’s monopoly v. the European Super League: Chronicle of an already written ending?”, 43 ECLR, 219–229.
- M.J. Melicias, “Towards 170 years of competition policy in Portugal: Past and future perspectives in light of the implementation of the ECN+ Directive”, BEU, 169–184.
- W. Mölls, “Why does Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 provide for the imposition of penalties only on undertakings? A historical perspective”, 45 World Comp., 195–236.
- J. Mouton and L. Reed, “Following the *Google Shopping* judgment, should we expect a private enforcement action?”, 13 JECLAP, 154–163.
- C.Y. Nagy, “EU competition law devours its children: The proliferation of anti-competitive object and the problem of false positives”, 23 CYELS, 290–310.
- J. Nemeth, “Blockchain, behavioural remedies, and merger control: How can access remedies do better?”, 13 JECLAP, 167–186.
- R. Oliveira and S.E. Martins, “EU competition law and parental liability: The *Akzo II* case”, BEU, 139–154.
- T. Thiede, “Die Entwicklung der Europäischen Fusionskontrolle 2020 und 2021”, 33 EuZW, 397–401.
- B. Van Rompuy, “The European Commission’s handling of non-priority antitrust complaints: An empirical assessment”, 45 World Comp., 265–294.
- S. Völcker and R. Benditz, “Die Entwicklung des europäischen Kartellrechts im Jahr 2021”, 33 EuZW, 247–254.
- A. Wiener, “Crises pass but mergers remain: EU merger control during the COVID-19 pandemic”, 43 ECLR, 238–249.
- W.P.J. Wils, “Procedural rights and obligations of third parties in antitrust investigations and proceedings by the European Commission”, 45 World Comp., 3–52.

9. *Consumer policy*

- V. Delhomme, “Front-of-pack nutrition labelling in the European Union: A behavioural, legal and political analysis”, 12 EJRR, 825–848.
- M. El Gemayel, “Food quality in the European Union: Does the consumer have free choice?”, 13 EJRR, 236–253.
- D. Fairgrieve and R. Salim, “Collective redress in Europe: Moving forward or treading water?”, 71 ICLQ, 465–479.
- F. Galli, F. Lagioia and G. Sartor, “Consent to targeted advertising”, 33 EBLR, 485–512.
- N. Gokani, “Front-of-pack nutrition labelling: A tussle between EU food law and national measures”, 47 EL Rev., 153–174.
- A.R. Lodder and J. Morais Carvalho, “Online platforms: Towards an information tsunami with new requirements on moderation, ranking, and traceability”, 33 EBLR, 537–556.
- J. Mulder, “What is vulnerability anyway? Tracing the EU notion of the vulnerable consumer through the eyes of EU non-discrimination law”, 46 EL Rev., 719–745.
- C. Riefa, “Protecting vulnerable consumers in the Digital Single Market”, 33 EBLR, 607–634.
- F. Riefaender, “Aligning the Brussels regime with the Representative Actions Directive”, 71 ICLQ, 107–138.
- J. Rutgers and W. Sauter, “Promoting fair private governance in the platform economy: EU competition and contract law applied to standard terms”, 23 CYELS, 343–381.

- C. Shucht, “Zehn Jahre Anwendung der EG-Spielzeugrichtlinie. Eine kritische Bestandsaufnahme”, 32 *EuZW*, 1076–1082.

10. *Cooperation on justice and home affairs; cooperation on criminal matters*

- N. Brandão, “The right of defence under Regulation (EU) 2018/1805 on the mutual recognition of freezing orders and confiscation orders”, 13 *NJECL*, 28–41.
- K.H. Brodersen, V.H. Glerum and A. Klip, “The European arrest warrant and *in absentia* judgments: The cause of much trouble”, 13 *NJECL*, 7–27.
- T. Harkin, “The case law of the Court of Justice of the European Union on ‘judicial authority’ and issuing European arrest warrants”, 12 *NJECL*, 508–530.
- N. Kärner, “Interplay between European Union criminal law and administrative sanctions: Constituent elements of transposing punitive administrative sanctions into national law”, 13 *NJECL*, 42–68.
- K. Lenaerts, “The external dimension of Union citizenship in extradition”, *BEU*, 73–86.
- L. Mancano, “Trust thy neighbour? Compliance and proximity to the EU through the lens of extradition”, 40 *YEL*, 475–514.
- D.D. Schlarb, “Rethinking anti-money laundering supervision: The Single Supervisory Mechanism – a model for a European anti-money laundering supervisor?”, 13 *NJECL*, 69–90.

11. *Court of Justice; judicial protection*

- M. Bobek, “What are Grand Chambers for?”, 23 *CYELS*, 1–19.
- M. Bonelli, “Infringement actions 2.0: How to protect EU values before the Court of Justice”, 18 *EuConst*, 30–58.
- M. Broberg and N. Fenger, “If you love somebody set them free: On the Court of Justice’s revision of the *acte clair* doctrine”, 59 *CML Rev.*, 711–738.
- H. de Waele, “Re-appraising success and failure in the life of the European Court of Justice”, 23 *CYELS*, 54–72.
- S. Doroga and A. Mercescu, “A call to impossibility: The methodology of interpretation at the European Court of Justice and the *PSPP* ruling”, 13 *EJLS*, 87–120.
- J.L. Dunoff and M.A. Pollack, “The road not taken: Comparative international judicial dissent”, 116 *AJIL*, 340–396.
- L. Enqvist and M. Naartijärvi, “Administrative independence under EU law: Stuck between a rock and *Costanzo*?”, 27 *EPL*, 707–732.
- G. Gentile, “To be or not to be (legally binding)? Judicial review of EU soft law after *BT* and *Fédération Bancaire Française*”, 25 *Rev. Der. Com. Eur.*, 981–1005.
- G. Greco, “EUIPO boards of appeal in the light of the principle of fair trial”, 28 *EPL*, 19–34.
- L. Hering, “Das Ende der ‘affaire *Sharpston*’ – Viel Lärm um nichts?”, 33 *EuZW*, 112–115.
- T. Jaeger, “*CILFIT* nach dem Urteil *Conorzio*: Rückenwind für den *Acte clair*”, 33 *EuZW*, 18–22.
- K. Leijon and M. Glavina, “Why passive? Exploring national judges’ motives for not requesting preliminary rulings”, 29 *MJ*, 263–285.
- G.C. Leonelli, “Access to the EU Courts in environmental and public health cases and the reform of the Aarhus Regulation: Systemic vision, pragmatism, and a happy ending”, 40 *YEL*, 230–264.
- F.G. Nicola, “Legal diplomacy in an age of authoritarianism”, 27 *CJEL*, 152–202.
- A. Östlund, “Effective judicial protection – To what effect and at whose service?”, 47 *EL Rev.*, 175–199.

- E. Perillo, “Le decisioni collettive dei governi degli Stati membri e il rispetto dello Stato di diritto europeo: Una competenza esclusiva della Corte di giustizia?”, (2021) *Dir. Un. Eur.*, 519–552.
- C. Rizcallah and V. Davio, “The requirement that tribunals be established by law: A valuable principle safeguarding the rule of law and the separation of powers in a context of trust”, 17 *EuConst*, 571–606.
- U. Šadl, “Old is new: The transformative effect of references to settled case law in the decisions of the European Court of Justice”, 58 *CML Rev.*, 1761–1788.
- R. Silva de Lapuerta, “The right of any court or tribunal of a Member State to request a preliminary ruling from the Court of Justice of the European Union”, *BEU*, 215–222.
- M. Simoncini, “Challenges of justice in the European Banking Union: Administrative integration and mismatches in jurisdiction”, 40 *YEL*, 310–334.
- E. Spaventa, “Constitutional creativity or constitutional deception? Acts of the Member States acting collectively and jurisdiction of the Court of Justice”, 58 *CML Rev.*, 1697–1730.
- P. Van Elswege, “Judicial review and the Common Foreign and Security Policy: Limits to the gap-filling role of the Court of Justice”, 58 *CML Rev.*, 1731–1760.
- N. Vogiatzis, “The administrative functions of the Court of Justice of the European Union”, 47 *EL Rev.*, 222–246.

12. *Economic and monetary policy*

- K. Alexander, “Reconciling lopsided mandates, secondary objectives and the importance of sustainability: The role of the European Central Bank in the Single Supervisory Mechanism”, 33 *EBLR*, 330–352.
- M. Chamon, “The *sui generis* framework for implementing the law of EMU: A constitutional assessment”, 6 *EP*, 1463–1484.
- Y.L.A. Chiu, “The Euro @20: Lessons for the next generation of virtual currencies”, 33 *EBLR*, 57–86.
- N. da Costa Cabral, “Borrowing in the European Union: From a pure national model to the antechamber of a European fiscal federal solution”, 43 *J. Eur. Int.*, 939–954.
- S. Dietz, “Green monetary policy between market neutrality and market efficiency”, 59 *CML Rev.*, 395–432.
- A. Estella, “The ‘muting’ of the Stability and Growth Pact”, 23 *CYELS*, 73–90.
- F. Fabbrini, “The legal architecture of the economic responses to COVID-19: EMU beyond the pandemic”, 60 *JCMS*, 186–203.
- C. Fasone, “The constitutional role of independent fiscal institutions in the Eurozone”, 23 *GLJ*, 257–279.
- D. Fromage, “The parliamentary accountability of EMU decisions: Between informality and fragmentation”, 6 *EP*, 1415–1435.
- C.V. Gortsos, “Challenges ahead for the EU banking system”, 33 *EBLR*, 353–374.
- F. Hernández Fernández, “Hacia una moneda digital europea. El euro 2.0”, 25 *Rev. Der. Com. Eur.*, 1006–1033.
- M. Juutilainen, “The EMU rationale for capital markets union”, 6 *EP*, 1505–1521.
- P. Leino-Sandberg and M. Ruffert, “Next Generation EU and its constitutional ramifications: A critical assessment”, 59 *CML Rev.*, 433–472.
- F. Losada and K. Tuori, “Integrating macroeconomics into the EU single legal order: The role of financial stability in post-crisis Europe”, 6 *EP*, 1367–1369.
- M. Megliani, “The ESM pandemic crisis support facility: A changing conditionality?”, 33 *EBLR*, 227–242.
- J. Miro, “Debating fiscal solidarity in the EU: Interests, values and identities in the legitimation of the Next Generation EU plan”, 44 *J. Eur. Int.*, 307–325.

- P. Nicolaidis and C. Kool, “The application of the principle of proportionality to monetary policy: An impossible task?”, 46 *EL Rev.*, 746–764.
- M.J. Nieto and D. Singh, “Incentive-compatible relationship between ERM II and close co-operation in the Banking Union: The cases of Bulgaria and Croatia”, 47 *EL Rev.*, 200–221.
- F. Perticari and M.A. Simonelli, “Who watches the lender? An assessment of the accountability framework of the reformed European Stability Mechanism”, 47 *EL Rev.*, 115–126.
- A. Rosas, “EMU in the case law of the Union Courts: A general overview and some observations”, 6 *EP*, 1397–1414.
- P. Schammo, “Institutional change in the Banking Union: The case of the Single Supervisory Mechanism”, 40 *YEL*, 265–309.
- E. Sedano Varo, “The bumpy reform of EU interest rates and the rule of law”, 33 *EBLR*, 267–296.
- A. Steinbach, “The greening of the Economic and Monetary Union”, 59 *CML Rev.*, 329–362.
- P. Van Cleynenbreugel, “ECB decision-making within the Banking and Monetary Union: The principle of confidentiality on its way out?”, 6 *EP*, 1437–1461.
- P. Weismann, “Public international law as a refuge of (Euro-)Member States during the financial and economic crisis: The case of the Intergovernmental Agreement on the Transfer and Mutualisation of Contributions to the Single Resolution Fund (IGA)”, 40 *YEL*, 335–373.
- C. Zilioli and M. Ioannidis, “Climate change and the mandate of the ECB: Potential and limits of monetary contribution to European green policies”, 59 *CML Rev.*, 363–394.
- C. Zilioli and M. Ioannidis, “Klimawandel und das Mandat der EZB: Potenzial und Grenzen des Beitrags der Geldpolitik zu einer europäischen grünen Politik”, 32 *EuZW*, 1061–1071.

13. *Energy policy*

- C. Coghlan, A. Bricout, L. Ruiz Velasco, C. Trottier-Chi, Z. Makuch, “A justice-driven approach to EU power sector decarbonization”, 31 *EEELR*, 70–104.
- M. Fehling, “Energy transition in the European Union and its Member States: Interpreting federal competence allocation in the light of the Paris Agreement”, 10 *TEL*, 339–363.
- N. Giannopoulos, “The interplay between EU law and regional sea conventions: Shaping environmental protection in relation to offshore energy production across Europe?”, 31 *EEELR*, 2–18.
- P.A. Mengozzi, “Le regole comuni per il mercato interno del gas naturale ed il principio di solidarietà energetica”, (2021) *Dir. Un. Eur.*, 285–314.
- S.T. Puharinen, “Free rivers or legal certainty? Review of hydropower permits under EU water law”, 31 *EEELR*, 54–67.
- M. Vincente, “The European Union’s proposal for the modernization of the Energy Charter Treaty”, 31 *EEELR*, 124–134.

14. *Environmental policy*

- I.M. de Waal, “Coherence in law: A way to stimulate the transition towards a circular economy? A critical analysis of the European Commission’s aspiration to achieve full coherence between chemicals legislation and waste legislation – and product legislation”, 28 *MJ*, 760–783.
- S. Dietz, “Green monetary policy between market neutrality and market efficiency”, 59 *CML Rev.*, 395–432.
- W. Frenz, “Klimaschutz und EU-Grundrechte”, 57 *EuR*, 3–22.

- M. García Goldar, “The inadequate approach of Directive (EU) 2019/771 towards the circular economy”, 29 MJ, 9–24.
- N. Giannopoulos, “The interplay between EU law and regional sea conventions: Shaping Environmental protection in relation to offshore energy production across Europe?”, 31 EEELR, 2–18.
- M. Hedemann-Robinson, “Access to environmental justice and European Union institutional compliance with the Aarhus Convention: A rather longer and more winding road than anticipated”, 31 EEELR, 175–188.
- G.C. Leonelli, “Access to the EU Courts in environmental and public health cases and the reform of the Aarhus Regulation: Systemic vision, pragmatism, and a happy ending”, 40 YEL, 230–264.
- N. Hoek, “The Habitats Directive and heath: The strain of climate change and N deposition”, 31 EEELR, 41–53.
- A. Johansson and A. Derelius, “Legitimacy and consistency of free allocation in the EU ETS: The role of substitutes in product benchmarks”, 31 EEELR, 163–174.
- A. Steinbach, “The greening of the Economic and Monetary Union”, 59 CML Rev., 329–362.
- J. van Zeben, “The role of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights in climate litigation”, 22 GLJ, 1499–1510.
- D.A. Zetsche and L. Anker-Sørensen, “Regulating sustainable finance in the dark”, 22 EBOR, 47–85.
- C. Zilioli and M. Ioannidis, “Climate change and the mandate of the ECB: Potential and limits of monetary contribution to European green policies”, 59 CML Rev., 363–394.
- C. Zilioli and M. Ioannidis, “Klimawandel und das Mandat der EZB: Potenzial und Grenzen des Beitrags der Geldpolitik zu einer europäischen grünen Politik”, 32 EuZW, 1061–1071.

15. *External relations; association and development*

- B.H. Druzin, “Tipping points and the formation of the European Union: Birth, Brexit, and beyond”, 27 CJEL, 68–96.
- Y. Kaspiarovich and N. Levrat, “European Union mixed agreements in international law under the stress of Brexit”, 13 EJLS, 121–150.
- F.G. Nicola, “Legal diplomacy in an age of authoritarianism”, 27 CJEL, 152–202.
- A. Rasi, “The entitlement of the European Union to exercise diplomatic protection: An international customary law perspective”, 6 EP, 1269–1291.

16. *Finances*

- C. Neumeier, “Der Finanzierungsbeitrag mit Lenkungszweck Begriff, Funktion und Probleme einer neuen Kategorie im Eigenmittelsystem der Europäischen Union”, 57 EuR, 190–212.

17. *Free movement of goods and customs union*

18. *Free movement of persons; migration and asylum; Area of Freedom, Security and Justice*

- N. Bačić Selanec and D. Petrić, “Migrating with dignity: Conceptualising human dignity through EU migration law”, 17 EuConst, 498–516.
- M. den Heijer, “The pitfalls of border procedures”, 59 CML Rev., 641–672.
- F. de Witte, “The liminal European: Subject to the EU legal order”, 40 YEL, 56–81.

- I. Goldner Lang and B. Nagy, “External border control techniques in the EU as a challenge to the principle of non-refoulement”, 17 *EuConst*, 442–470.
- D. Kramer, I. van Gardingen and K. Boonstra, “De Europese detachering van derdelanders”, 97 *NJB*, 1442–1450.
- C. Molinari, “Sincere cooperation between EU and Member States in the field of readmission: The more the merrier?”, 23 *CYELS*, 269–289.
- C. Querton, “One step forward, two steps back? Interpreteting ‘Particular Social Group’ in the European Union”, 71 *ICLQ*, 425–451.
- L. Slingenberg, “European case law on migrants’ social and mobility rights: The need for a comparative approach in assessing ‘human rights overreach’”, 40 *NQHR*, 98–117.
- A. Tacea, “From legal to political reasoning: National parliaments’ use of reasoned opinions in the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice”, 59 *JCMS*, 1573–1589.
- M. Tecqmenne, “Migrant jobseekers, right of residence and access to welfare benefits: One step forward, two steps backwards?”, 46 *EL Rev.*, 765–783.
- A. Tizzano, “Why doesn’t she seek international protection in the European Union?”, 54 *NYUJILP*, 559–622.
- E. Tsourdi, “Asylum in the EU: One of the many faces of rule of law backsliding?”, 17 *EuConst*, 471–497.
19. *Free movement of capital, freedom of establishment and freedom to provide Services*
- I. Asimakopoulos and D. Howarth, “Stillborn Banking Union: Explaining ineffective European Union bank resolution rules”, 60 *JCMS*, 264–282.
- S. Burchardi, “FinTechs im europäischen Binnenmarkt”, 32 *EuZW*, 1013–1019.
- R. Canini, “Central counterparties are too big for the European Securities and Markets Authority (alone): Constructive critique of the 2019 CCP Supervision Regulation”, 22 *EBOR*, 673–717.
- A. Chapuis-Doppler and V. Delhomme, “Regulating composite platform economy services: Examining the applicable legal framework in light of recent judicial developments”, 49 *LIEI*, 43–70.
- I.H.Y. Chiu, “The EU sustainable finance agenda: Developing governance for double materiality in sustainability metrics”, 22 *EBOR*, 87–123.
- T. Dillon, “TV quotas under the AVMS Directive after Brexit”, 56 *JWT*, 307–350.
- F. Feretti, “Open banking: Gordian legal knots in the uncomfortable cohabitation between the PSD2 and the GDPR”, 30 *E.R.P.L.*, 73–102.
- G. Deipenbrock, “Digital finance and beyond in the third decade of the 21st century – Observations with a focus on the EU policy and legal perspective”, 33 *EBLR*, 309–330.
- M. Domina, “Regulatory discretion in financial markets law: An effective remedy against financial crises?”, 22 *EBOR*, 184–188.
- M. Domina, “The broadening ‘soft law’ powers of the European Banking Authority”, 22 *EBOR*, 22–26.
- J. Groß and J. Klamet, “Unionsrechtliche Grenzen öffentlich-rechtlicher Barzahlungsregelungen”, 57 *EuR*, 23–47.
- E. Hahn, “Das „Begeben in einen anderen Mitgliedstaat“ nach Art. 5 Abs. 3 der RL 2005/36/EG bei Korrespondenzdienstleistungen am Beispiel grenzüberschreitender Telemedizin”, 57 *EuR*, 104–119.
- P. Iglesias-Rodríguez, “ESMA as a residual lawmaker: The political economy and constitutionality of ESMA’s product intervention measures on complex financial products”, 22 *EBOR*, 627–271.

- J. Jansen, N. Driessen, T.E. Fontaine, “Een vak apart: Onderwijsdiensten op de interne markt”, 70 SEW, 146–159.
- H. Marjosola, “Shadow rulemaking: Governing regulatory innovation in the EU financial markets”, 23 GLJ, 186–203.
- K. Navid, “How many Single Rulebooks? The EU’s patchwork approach to ensuring regulatory consistency in the area of investment management”, 22 EBOR, 347–390.
- M.J. Nieto and D. Singh, “Incentive-compatible relationship between ERM II and close co-operation in the Banking Union: The cases of Bulgaria and Croatia”, 47 EL Rev., 200–221.
- M. Parmentier, “Die Entwicklung des europäischen Kapitalmarktrechts in den Jahren 2020–2021”, 33 EuZW, 101–107.
- L. Quaglia, “It takes two to tango: The European Union and the international governance of securitization in finance”, 59 JCMS, 1364–1380.
- D.A. Zetsche and L. Anker-Sørensen, “Regulating sustainable finance in the dark”, 22 EBOR, 47–85.

20. *Fundamental rights*

- G. Comandé and G. Schneider, “Differential data protection regimes in data-driven research: Why the GDPR is more research-friendly than you think”, 23 GLJ, 559–596.
- G. Comandé and G. Schneider, “It’s time: Leveraging the GDPR to shift the balance towards research-friendly EU data spaces”, 59 CML Rev., 739–776.
- F. Donati, “Diritti fondamentali e algoritmi nella proposta di regolamento sull’intelligenza artificiale”, (2021) Dir. Un. Eur., 453–466.
- D. Erdos, “Special, personal and broad expression: Exploring freedom of expression norms under the General Data Protection Regulation”, 40 YEL, 398–430.
- V. Faggiani, “*Rule of law backsliding* y libertad de expresión en la UE”, (2021) Dir. Un. Eur., 467–498.
- W. Frenz, “Klimaschutz und EU-Grundrechte”, 57 EuR, 3–22.
- I. Graef and B. van der Sloot, “Collective data harms at the crossroads of data protection and competition law: Moving beyond individual empowerment”, 33 EBLR, 513–536.
- G. Harpaz and A. Reich, “Kosher and halal slaughtering before the Court of Justice: A case of religious intolerance?”, 28 EPL, 35–52.
- C. Heber, “Zwingende Gleichheit? Die Einflussnahme des Europarechts auf rein nationale Sachverhalte: Teil 1”, 33 EuZW, 53–59; “Teil 2”, 33 EuZW, 107–111.
- E. Howard, “Headscarves and the CJEU: Protecting fundamental rights and pandering to prejudice, the CJEU does both”, 29 MJ, 245–262.
- W. Jang and A.L. Newman, “Enforcing European privacy regulations from below: Transnational fire alarms and the General Data Protection Regulation”, 60 JCMS, 283–300.
- M.T. Karayigit, “Prevalence of an economic right/freedom over a social right in a horizontal litigation once again”, 27 EPL, 733–758.
- D. Kochenov and J. Morijn, “Strengthening the Charter’s role in the fight for the rule of law in the EU: The cases of judicial independence and party financing”, 27 EPL, 759–780.
- J. Krommendijk and G.B.M. de Vries, “De wisselwerking tussen het HvJ EU en het EHRM: Een hernieuwde liefde?”, 69 SEW, 560–570.
- M.H. Murphy, “Assessing the implications of *Schrems II* for EU-US data flows”, 71 ICLQ, 245–262.
- N. Piçarra, “Some notes on the fundamental right to liberty and security of person in the EU legal order”, BEU, 87–98.

- M. Rojszczak, “National security and retention of telecommunications data in light of recent case law of the European Courts”, 17 *EuConst*, 607–635.
- M. Veale and F. Zuiderveen Borgesius, “Adtech and real-time bidding under European data protection law”, 23 *GLJ*, 226–256.

21. *Harmonization*

- I.M. de Waal, “Coherence in law: A way to stimulate the transition towards a circular economy? A critical analysis of the European Commission’s aspiration to achieve full coherence between chemicals legislation and waste legislation – and product legislation”, 28 *MJ*, 760–783.
- C. Luttermann and K. Luttermann, “Pax Europaea: Das Europäische Referenzsprachensystem für ein klares Europarecht im Binnenmarkt durch Mehrsprachigkeit”, (2022) *RIW*, 285–295.

22. *Industrial policy and technology*

- J. de Mévius, “Impact of the European Union’s seed legislation and intellectual property rights on crop diversity”, 31 *EEELR*, 149–162.
- K. Garnett and G. Van Calster, “The concept of essential use: A novel approach to regulating chemicals in the European Union”, 10 *TEL*, 159–187.
- G. Guerra, “Evolving artificial intelligence and robotics in medicine, evolving European law. Comparative remarks based on the surgery litigation”, 28 *MJ*, 805–833.
- E. Hickey and M. Weimer, “The transparency of EU agency science: Towards a new proactive approach”, 59 *CML Rev.*, 673–710.
- E. Hickman and M. Petrin, “Trustworthy AI and corporate governance: The EU’s ethics guidelines for trustworthy artificial intelligence from a company law perspective”, 22 *EBOR*, 593–625.
- S.M. Hughes, “CRISPR-Cas9 and food in the European Union: An organic solution to an undetectable problem for food business operators”, 13 *EJRR*, 254–269.
- K. Ludvigsen, S. Nagaraja and A. Daly, “When is software a medical device? Understanding and determining the “intention” and requirements for software as a medical device in European Union law”, 13 *EJRR*, 78–93.
- I. Orssich, “Das europäische Kontzept für vertrauenswürdige Künstliche Intelligenz”, 33 *EuZW*, 254–261.
- K.P. Purnhagen, “You want it extra CRISPERY? Legal disruption through new plant breeding technologies in the EU”, 40 *YEL*, 374–397.
- D.S. van Boven and N. Wolters Ruckert, “De AI-verordening: De black box geopend”, (2022) *NTER*, 32–39.

23. *Institutions*

- J. Cotter, “To everything there is a season: Instrumentalising Article 10 TEU to exclude undemocratic Member State representatives from the European Council and the Council”, 47 *EL Rev.*, 69–84.
- S. Fabbrini, “Who holds the elephant to account? Executive power political accountability in the EU”, 43 *J. Eur. Int.*, 923–938.
- A.M. Fernández Pasarín and N. Font, “Unveiling inconsistency: Consensus and contestation along the Council–Comitology cycle of EU policy-making”, 427–444.

- M. Simoncini, “The delegation of powers to EU agencies after the financial crisis”, 6 EP, 1485–1503.
- N. Vogiatzis, “The past and future of the right to petition the European Parliament”, 40 YEL, 82–110.
- A. Wille and M. Bovens, “Watching EU watchdogs: Assessing the accountability powers of the European Court of Auditors and the European Ombudsman”, 44 J. Eur. Int., 183–206.

24. *Intellectual property*

- S. Geigerat, “Copyright meets consumer data portability rights: Inevitable friction between IP and the remedies in the Digital Content Directive”, 71 GRUR Int., 495–515.
- B. Gonzalez Otero, “Machine learning models under the copyright microscope: Is EU copyright fit for purpose?”, 70 GRUR Int., 1043–1055.
- G. Greco, “EUIPO boards of appeal in the light of the principle of fair trial”, 28 EPL, 19–34.
- K. Güven, “Eliminating ‘aesthetics’ from copyright law: The aftermath of *Cofemel*”, 71 GRUR Int., 213–225.
- P.G. Picht, “EU digital regulation 2022: Data desiderata”, 71 GRUR Int., 395–402.
- R. Podszun, “Should gatekeepers be allowed to combine data? Ideas for Art. 5(a) of the draft Digital Markets Act”, 71 GRUR Int., 197–205.
- J.P. Quintais and S.F. Schwemer, “The interplay between the Digital Services Act and sector regulation: How special is copyright?”, 13 EJRR, 191–217.
- N. Rauer and A. Bibi, “Extended collective licencing in the DSM Directive – an opportunity to make Art. 17 DSM Directive work?”, 71 GRUR Int., 113–122.
- M. Senftleben, “No trademark protection for artworks in the public domain – A practical guide to the application of public order and morality as grounds for refusal”, 71 GRUR Int., 3–17.

25. *Internal market*

- E. Bogomolni, “Tackling big tech in the United States and the European Union: A comparison of the DMA and the Calera”, 54 NUYJILP, 235–248.
- C. Cauffman and C. Goana, “A new order: The Digital Services Act and consumer protection”, 12 EJRR, 758–774.
- R. Derksen, “Der unionsrechtliche Rahmen für die Wohnungswirtschaft als Daseinsvorsorge”, 33 EuZW, 157–162.
- S. Eckert, “Supranational authorities and private actors as drivers of single market integration? The state of the Union in electricity and banking”, 44 J. Eur. Int., 19–40.
- M. Höpner, “Ist der Unionsgesetzgeber an die Grundfreiheiten gebunden?”, 57 EuR, 165–189.
- M.C. Pagliarello, “Higher education in the single market between (trans)national integration and supranationalisation: Exploring the European universities initiative”, 44 J. Eur. Int., 149–164.
- J. Pelkmans and M. Simoncini, “Beyond *Meroni*. What does it take to achieve a single market for network industries?”, 44 J. Eur. Int., 117–132.
- R. Raudla and A. Spendzharova, “Challenges to the European single market at thirty: Renationalisation, resilience, or renewed integration?”, 44 J. Eur. Int., 1–17.
- C. Schmidt and R. Krimmer, “How to implement the European digital single market: Identifying the catalyst for digital transformation”, 44 J. Eur. Int., 59–80.
- P. Telles, “Extremely urgent public procurement under Directive 2014/24/EU and the COVID–19 pandemic”, 29 MJ, 215–228.

- S. Tommasi, “The liability of internet service providers in the proposed Digital Services Act”, 29 E.R.P.L., 925–944.
- L. van Kreijl and S.A. de Vries, “HERA, de nieuwe EU-autoriteit voor de levering van schaarse medische producten ten tijde van gezondheidscrisis”, (2021) NTER, 247–254.
- S. Weatherill, “Comparative internal market law: The UK and the EU”, 40 YEL, 431–474.
- P. Wolters, “De vlucht naar voren in de Digital Services Act”, 71 AA, 191–201.

26. *Jurisdiction and recognition of judgments; conflict of laws*

- E. Bergamini, “Giurisdizione e riconoscimento delle sentenze di Stati terzi in materia familiare: i regolamenti 2201/2003 e 2019/1111”, (2021) Dir. Un. Eur., 401–428.
- S. Burrer and D. Maruszyk, “Grenzüberschreitende Anerkennung und Vollstreckung polnischer Urteile nach der EuGVVO in Zeiten der polnischen Verfassungskrise”, 33 EuZW, 200–207.
- L. König and S. Tetzlaff, “‘Forum shopping’ unter Art. 7 Rom II-VO – neue Herausforderungen zur Bestimmung des anwendbaren Rechts bei ‘Klimaklagen’”, (2022) RIW, 25–40.
- S. Lindroos-Hovinheimo, “Jurisdiction and personality rights – in which Member State should harmful online content be assessed?”, 29 MJ, 201–214.
- B. Marshall, “Asymmetric jurisdiction clauses and the anomaly created by Article 31(2) of the Brussels I Recast Regulation”, 71 ICLQ, 297–321.
- F. Rielander, “Aligning the Brussels regime with the Representative Actions Directive”, 71 ICLQ, 107–138.

27. *Private law*

- O.O. Cherednychenko, “Regulatory agencies and private damages in the EU: Bridging the gap between theory and practice”, 40 YEL, 146–171.
- V. Jentsch, “Contractual performance, breach of contract and contractual obligations in times of crisis: On the need for unification and codification in European contract law”, 29 E.R.P.L., 853–884.

28. *Regional policy*

- L. Mantl and C. Tritzl, “Ein neuer Finanzierungsrahmen für die Kohäsion in der EU”, 32 EuZW, 1019–1025.

29. *Relationship between national and Union law*

- A. Arena, “De una factura de electricidad impagada a la primacía del derecho europeo: Así nació la jurisprudencia *Costa/Enel*”, 25 Rev. Der. Com. Eur., 897–931.
- P. De Pasquale, “Sharing is caring: I primi trent’anni del principio di sussidiarietà nell’Unione europea”, (2021) Dir. Un. Eur., 429–452.
- M. Ezquerro Vergara and G. Villalta Puig, “The quiet architect finds its voice: The primacy of the law of the European Union after Press Release No 58/20 of the Court of Justice of the European Union”, 27 EPL, 673–684.
- J. Graf von Luckner, “The end of satellite treaty law as we know it? The German Federal Constitutional Court, European integration by international law and treaties ‘supplementing or being otherwise closely tied to the EU’”, 23 GLJ, 157–172.

- D. Halberstam, “Understanding national remedies and the principle of national procedural autonomy: A constitutional approach”, 23 CYELS, 128–158.
- B. Herzog, “Judgment of the German Federal Constitutional Court (2 BvR 859/15) on the Public Sector Purchase Programme of the ECB: An interdisciplinary analysis”, 27 EPL, 653–672.
- P. Hilpold, “So long *Solange*? The *PSPP* judgment of the German Constitutional Court and the conflict between the German and the European ‘popular spirit’”, 23 CYELS, 159–192.
- M. Honer and T. Rudloff, “Das Unionsrecht und das Bundesverfassungsgericht – Die Dogmatik der Kontrollvorbehalte des Bundesverfassungsgerichts im Prozess der europäischen Integration”, 57 EuR, 74–90.
- M. Höpner, “Proportionality in the *PSPP* saga: Why constitutional pluralism is here to stay and why the Federal Constitutional Court did not violate the rules of loyal conduct”, 6 EP, 1527–1551.
- P.M. Huber, “Die gemeinsamen Verfassungsüberlieferungen der Mitgliedstaaten – Identifizierung und Konkretisierung”, 57 EuR, 145–164.
- P. Leino-Sandberg and M. Ruffert, “Next Generation EU and its constitutional ramifications: A critical assessment”, 59 CML Rev., 433–472.
- C. Lycourgos, “The intersection between the uniform application of EU law and the limitation of sovereign rights in the jurisprudence of the CJEU”, BEU, 3–18.
- A. Marciano, “The dialogue between courts in the so-called *Taricco* saga”, BEU, 237–244.
- P. Mori, “Il primato dei valori comuni dell’Unione europea”, (2021) Dir. Un. Eur., 73–92.
- J.J. Rijpma, “Artikel I-6 Europese Grondwet”, 182 RM Themis, 230–233.
- A. Tizzano, “Les relations entre juridictions en Europe”, BEU, 223–236.

30. *Social policy*

- J. Adams-Prassl, “Regulating algorithms at work: Lessons for a ‘European approach to artificial intelligence’”, 13 ELLJ, 30–50.
- A. Aloisi, “Platform work in Europe: Lessons learned, legal developments and challenges ahead”, 13 ELLJ, 4–29.
- G. Boot, “Platform work in Europe: The need for a multilevel solution to protect the so-called self-employed”, 13 ELLJ, 81–85.
- B. Carella and D. Graziano, “Back to the future in EU social policy? Endogenous critical junctures and the case of the European Pillar of Social Rights”, 60 JCMS, 374–390.
- P. Daly and D. Whelan, “Disability in employment equality law: A reappraisal of the reasonable accommodation duty and issues arising in its implementation”, 28 MJ, 744–759.
- D. Defossez, “The employment status of food delivery riders in Europe and the UK: Self-employed or worker?”, 29 MJ, 25–46.
- M. de la Corte-Rodriguez, “The conditions of access to parental leave in the EU: An adequate delimitation of qualifying periods by the Court of Justice?”, 12 ELLJ, 564–568.
- A. Gago and F. Maiani, “‘Pushing the boundaries’: A dialogical account of the evolution of European case-law on access to welfare”, 44 J. Eur. Int., 261–275.
- J. Gerards, “Systeemverklaringen voor verschillen tussen de gelijkebehandelingsrechtspraak van het HvJ EU en het EHRM”, 69 SEW, 571–581.
- A. Junker, “Die Rechtsprechung des EuGH zum europäischen Arbeitsrecht im Jahr 2021”, (2022) RIW, 1–11.
- M. Kullmann, “‘Platformisation’ of work: An EU perspective on introducing a legal presumption”, 13 ELLJ, 66–80.

- T. Novitz, “Sustainable labour conditionality in EU free trade agreements? Implications of the EU-Korea Expert Panel Report”, 47 *EL Rev.*, 3–23.
- J. Paju, “The Charter and social security rights: Time to stand and deliver?”, 24 *EJSS*, 21–39.
- Z. Rasnača, “Collective redress in labour and social law disputes: An (attractive) option for the EU?”, 12 *ELLJ*, 415–435.
- M. Schmitt and M. Rorca, “A new source for (EU) labour law?”, 13 *ELLJ*, 214–224.
- M. Seeleib-Kaiser, “Regulating the poor through internal borders: The EU in historical and international perspectives”, 24 *EJSS*, 3–20.
- L.A.J. Senden and R. Hesdahl, “Gelijke beloning voor mannen en vrouwen: Geen woorden meer maar daden”, (2022) *NTER*, 1–12.
- B. Steunenbergh, “How implementation affects revision: EU decision-making on changing the Posting of Workers Directive”, 60 *JCMS*, 562–579.
- H. Verschueren, “Het recht op sociale uitkeringen voor derdelanders in het secundaire Unierecht”, 70 *SEW*, 20–32.
- H. Verschueren, “De toepassing van de conflictregels van de Europese socialezekerheidscoördinatie op telewerk (tijdens en) na de COVID-19 pandemie”, 70 *SEW*, 242–253.
- L. Waddington and M. Bell, “The right to request flexible working arrangements under the Work-life Balance Directive – A comparative perspective”, 12 *ELLJ*, 508–528.
- R. Xenidis, “The polysemy of anti-discrimination law: The interpretation architecture of the Framework Employment Directive at the Court of Justice”, 58 *CML Rev.*, 1649–1696.

31. *State aid*

- R.A. Achleitner, A. Bartosch and T. Bieber, “Zur Freistellung von Beihilfen nach der AGVO: Gesetzlicher Anspruch und Wirklichkeit”, 33 *EuZW*, 293–299.
- I. Agnolucci, “Will COVID-19 make or break EU State aid control? An analysis of Commission decisions authorising pandemic State aid measures”, 13 *JECLAP*, 3–16.
- L. Armati and F. Macchi, “The Commission adopts the new notice on the enforcement of State aid rules before national courts: An overview of the state of play of private enforcement of State aid law”, 21 *EStAL*, 3–19.
- A. Haak and B. Thiemann, “Fostering tech sovereignty with a level playing field on State aid and foreign subsidies”, 21 *EStAL*, 20–30.
- S. Marino, “La tutela ambientale nella politica dell’Unione europea in materia di aiuti di Stato”, (2021) *Dir. Un. Eur.*, 43–72.
- A. Metaxas, “EU State aid control in a dynamic global environment: Time to rethink the interested party concept?”, 21 *EStAL*, 43–53.
- P. Petrov, “State aid and COVID-19: With a particular focus on the air transport sector”, 20 *EStAL*, 461–478.
- P. Nicolaidis, “The evolving interpretation of Article 107(3)(b) TFEU”, 21 *EStAL*, 31–42.
- P. Nicolaidis, “The appropriateness of State aid and the principle of non-discrimination”, 43 *ECLR*, 264–269.
- V. Rošic Feguš, “The legitimacy of EU soft law: Still nothing new or a turn for the worse in the field of State aid?”, 21 *EStAL*, 54–64.
- U. Soltész, “Wichtige Entwicklungen im Europäischen Beihilferecht im Jahre 2021”, 33 *EuZW*, 5–13.
- E. van Druenen, P. Zwaan and E. Mastenbroek, “Getting State aid approved by the European Commission: Explaining the duration of preliminary investigations in the State aid notification procedure”, 60 *JCMS*, 545–561.

32. *Taxation*

- G. Alexander, “Blocking the gap: The potential for blockchain technology to secure VAT compliance”, 31 EC Tax Rev., 140–155.
- M. Campos Loureiro, “The shortcomings of the EU Public Country-by-Country Reporting Directive”, 31 EC Tax Rev., 115–123.
- S. Cornielje, “The costly stalemate of EU VAT harmonization”, 31 EC Tax Rev., 85–96.
- L. De Broe and M. Massant, “The General Court’s judgment in *Engie*: The non-application of a national GAAR confers State aid”, 31 EC Tax Rev., 6–15.
- J. Englisch, “Non-harmonized implementation of a GloBE minimum tax: How EU Member States could proceed”, 30 EC Tax Rev., 207–219.
- D.J. Gajewski and K. Jonski, “‘VAT gap’ estimation: Distinguishing between informality and fraud”, 31 EC Tax Rev., 124–130.
- C. Heber, “The interest limitation rule in the light of European constitutional law”, 31 EC Tax Rev., 72–84.
- C. HJI Panayi, “Brexit and corporate taxation: New perspectives”, 31 EC Tax Rev., 50–61.
- R. Iaia, “Article 6 ATAD and ‘non-genuineness’ of arrangements”, 30 EC Tax Rev., 242–253.
- Š. Jozipovic, M. Perkušić and S. Gadžo, “Tax compliance in the era of cryptocurrencies and CBDCs: The end of the right to privacy or no reason for concern?”, 31 EC Tax Rev., 16–29.
- M. Laziero and I. Lavarov, “The substantive scope of the Anti-Tax-Avoidance Directive: The remaining leeway for national tax sovereignty”, 58 CML Rev., 1789–1818.
- A. Martins and D. Taborda, “BEFIT and formulary apportionment: Should intangibles be included in the formula?”, 31 EC Tax Rev., 131–139.
- B. Peeters, “The design of COVID-19 recovery contributions: Taxes or social security contributions?”, 30 EC Tax Rev., 236–241.
- C. Peters, “Critical analysis of the General Court’s ‘EU arm’s length tool’: Beware of the reflexivity of transfer pricing law!”, 31 EC Tax Rev., 30–49.
- M. Richardson, “Unlawfully obtained evidence: Follow the Court of Justice of the European Union if you please”, 30 EC Tax Rev., 262–269.
- K. von Brocke, “Kurzübersicht und einordnung der ‘UNSHELL’-Initiative: Der EU-Richtlinienentwurf vom 22.12.2021 zur missbräuchlichen Nutzung von Briefkastenfirmen”, 33 EuZW, 197–200.
- D. Weber and J. Steenberg, “The (absence of) Member State autonomy in the interpretation of DAC6: A call for EU guidance”, 30 EC Tax Rev., 254–261.
- D. Weber and J. Steenberg, “The potential relevance of the CJEU case law on group taxation under the EU/UK Trade and Cooperation Agreement”, 31 EC Tax Rev., 97–106.

33. *Transport and infrastructure*

- G. Belger and D. Saive, “E-Government im Kontext elektronischer Frachtbriefe und Frachtinformationen in der EU – Die eFTI-Verordnung (EU) 2020/1056”, 33 EuZW, 299–305.
- J. Guillen, “The liberalisation of the European Union passenger rail market: New challenges for future public service contracts”, 23 CRINI, 60–76.
- T. Guretzki, “‘Kuh-Tourismus’ auf Abwegen. Die Ausfuhr lebender Tiere aus der EU in Drittstaaten und die Möglichkeiten, diese aus Gründen ihres Schutzes einzuschränken”, 32 EuZW, 1026–1032.
- P. Petrov, “State aid and COVID-19: With a particular focus on the air transport sector”, 20 EStAL, 461–478.

III. COUNCIL OF EUROPE

1. *General*

2. *Human rights*

- E. Albanesi, “The European Court of Human Rights’ advisory opinions legally affect non-ratifying States: A good reason (from a perspective of constitutional law) to ratify Protocol No. 16 to the ECHR”, 28 EPL, 1–18.
- L. Dikkers, “Towards European consensus on climate change as a human rights problem”, 71 AA, 89–96.
- J. Gerards, “Systeemverklaringen voor verschillen tussen de gelijkebehandelingsrechtspraak van het HvJ EU en het EHRM”, 69 SEW, 571–581.
- H. Keller and A. Hufti, “Bringing the right to water into the spotlight: A civil right before the European Court of Human Rights?”, 31 RECIEL, 50–59.
- L.M. Komp, “Grensdoden op zee en het recht op leven”, 71 AA, 73–76.
- J. Krommendijk and G.B.M. de Vries, “De wisselwerking tussen het HvJ EU en het EHRM: Een hernieuwde liefde?”, 69 SEW, 560–570.
- M. Leloup, “Who safeguards the guardians? A subjective right of judges to their independence under Article 6(1) ECHR”, 17 EuConst, 394–421.
- L. Slingenbergh, “European case law on migrants’ social and mobility rights: The need for a comparative approach in assessing ‘human rights overreach’”, 40 NQHR, 98–117.
- H. Smekal and N. Tsereteli, “Reforming to please: A comprehensive explanation for non-exit from the European Court of Human Rights”, 17 EuConst, 664–687.
- F.E. Torres, “Reparations: To what end? Developing the State’s positive duties to address socio-economic harms in post-conflict settings through the European Court of Human Rights”, 32 EJIL, 807–834.
- B. Tripkovic, “A new philosophy for the margin of appreciation and European consensus”, 42 OJLS, 207–234.

